## Chapter 3. Characters of Finite Groups

We now introduce the concept of a *character* of a finite group. These are functions  $\chi : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , obtained from the representations of the group G by taking traces. Characters have many remarkable properties, and they are the fundamental tools for performing computations in representation theory. They encode a lot of information about the group itself and about its representations in a more compact and efficient manner.

Notation: throughout this chapter, unless otherwise specified, we let:

- G denote a finite group;
- $\cdot K := \mathbb{C}$  be the field of complex numbers; and
- · *V* denote a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space such that dim<sub> $\mathbb{C}$ </sub>(*V*) <  $\infty$ .

In general, unless otherwise stated, all groups considered are assumed to be finite and all  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces / modules over the group algebra considered are assumed to be finite-dimensional.

## 7 Characters

Definition 7.1 (Character, linear character)

Let  $\rho_V: G \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(V)$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation. The **character** of  $\rho_V$  is the  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued function

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \chi_V \colon & G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ & g & \mapsto & \chi_V(g) \coloneqq \operatorname{Tr}\left( 
ho_V(g) 
ight) \ . \end{array}$$

We also say that  $\rho_V$  (or the associated  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module V) **affords** the character  $\chi_V$ . If the degree of  $\rho_V$  is one, then  $\chi_V$  is called a **linear** character.

#### Remark 7.2

(a) Recall that in *linear algebra* (see GDM) the trace of a linear endomorphism  $\varphi$  may be concretely computed by taking the trace of the matrix of  $\varphi$  in a chosen basis of the vector space, and this is independent of the choice of the basis.

Thus to compute characters: choose an ordered basis *B* of *V* and obtain  $\forall q \in G$ :

$$\chi_V(g) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(
ho_V(g)
ight) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(
ho_V(g)
ight)_B
ight)$$

(b) For a matrix representation  $R: G \longrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ , the character of R is then

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \chi_R \colon & G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ & g & \mapsto & \chi_R(g) := \operatorname{Tr} \left( R(g) \right) \ . \end{array}$$

#### Example 3

The character of the trivial representation of G is the function  $1_G : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $g \mapsto 1$  and is called the trivial character of G.

#### Lemma 7.3

Equivalent representations have the same character.

**Proof:** If  $\rho_V : G \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(V)$  and  $\rho_W : G \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(W)$  are two  $\mathbb{C}$ -representations, and  $\alpha : V \longrightarrow W$  is an isomorphism of representations, then

$$\rho_W(g) = \alpha \circ \rho_V(g) \circ \alpha^{-1} \quad \forall \ g \in G.$$

Now, by the properties of the trace (GDM) for two  $\mathbb{C}$ -endomorphisms  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  of V we have  $\text{Tr}(\beta \circ \gamma) =$  $\operatorname{Tr}(\gamma \circ \beta)$ , hence for every  $q \in G$  we have

$$\chi_W(g) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_W(g)\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\alpha \circ \rho_V(g) \circ \alpha^{-1}\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_V(g) \circ \underbrace{\alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha}_{=\operatorname{Id}_V}\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_V(g)\right) = \chi_V(g).$$

## Terminology / Notation 7.4

- · Again, we allow ourselves to transport terminology from representations to characters. For example, if  $\rho_V$  is irreducible (faithful, ...), then the character  $\chi_V$  is also called irreducible (faithful, ...).
- · We define Irr(G) to be the set of all irreducible characters of G. (We will see below that Irr(G) is a finite set.)

## Properties 7.5 (Elementary properties)

Let  $\rho_V : G \longrightarrow GL(V)$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation and let  $g \in G$ . Then the following assertions hold:

- (a)  $\chi_V(1_G) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$ ; (b)  $\chi_V(g) = \varepsilon_1 + \ldots + \varepsilon_n$ , where  $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n$  are o(g)-th roots of unity in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$ ;

(c) 
$$|\chi_V(g)| \leq \chi_V(1_G)$$

(d) 
$$\chi_V(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi_V(g)};$$

(e) if  $\rho_V = \rho_{V_1} \oplus \rho_{V_2}$  is the direct sum of two subrepresentations, then  $\chi_V = \chi_{V_1} + \chi_{V_2}$ .

Proof:

- (a) We have  $\rho_V(1_G) = \operatorname{Id}_V$  since representations are group homomorphisms, hence  $\chi_V(1_G) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$ .
- (b) This follows directly from the diagonalisation theorem (Theorem 6.2).

(c) By (b) we have  $\chi_V(g) = \varepsilon_1 + \ldots + \varepsilon_n$ , where  $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n$  are roots of unity in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Hence, applying the triangle inequality repeatedly, we obtain that

$$|\chi_V(g)| = |\varepsilon_1 + \ldots + \varepsilon_n| \leq \underbrace{|\varepsilon_1|}_{=1} + \ldots + \underbrace{|\varepsilon_n|}_{=1} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V \stackrel{(a)}{=} \chi_V(1_G).$$

(d) Again by the diagonalisation theorem, there exists an ordered  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis B of V and o(g)-th roots of unity  $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\left(\rho_V(g)\right)_B = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & 0 \cdots \cdots & 0\\ 0 & \varepsilon_2 & \ddots & \vdots\\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0\\ 0 \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \varepsilon_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$\left(\rho_{V}(g^{-1})\right)_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{1}^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{2}^{-1} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \varepsilon_{n}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\varepsilon_{1}} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{\varepsilon_{2}} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \overline{\varepsilon_{n}} \end{bmatrix}$$

and it follows that  $\chi_V(g^{-1}) = \overline{\varepsilon_1} + \ldots + \overline{\varepsilon_n} = \overline{\varepsilon_1 + \ldots + \varepsilon_n} = \overline{\chi_V(g)}$ .

(e) For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  let  $B_i$  be an ordered  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of  $V_i$  and consider the  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis  $B := B_1 \sqcup B_2$  of V. Then, by Remark 3.2 for every  $g \in G$  we have

$$\left(\rho_{V}(g)\right)_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\rho_{V_{1}}(g)\right)_{B_{1}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \left(\rho_{V_{2}}(g)\right)_{B_{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

hence 
$$\chi_V(g) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_V(g)\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{V_1}(g)\right) + \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{V_2}(g)\right) = \chi_{V_1}(g) + \chi_{V_2}(g)$$
.

#### Corollary 7.6

Any character of G is a sum of irreducible characters of G.

**Proof:** By Corollary 3.6 to Maschke's theorem, any C-representation can be written as the direct sum of irreducible subrepresentations. Thus the claim follows from Properties 7.5(e).

## Notation 7.7

Recall from group theory (*Einführung in die Algebra*) that a group *G acts on itself by conjugation* via

$$\begin{array}{rccc} G \times G & \longrightarrow & G \\ (q, x) & \mapsto & q x q^{-1} =: {}^{g_{X}}. \end{array}$$

The orbits of this action are the *conjugacy classes* of *G*, we denote them by  $[x] := \{ g_X \mid g \in G \}$ , and we write  $C(G) := \{ [x] \mid x \in G \}$  for the set of all conjugacy classes of *G*.

The stabiliser of  $x \in G$  is its *centraliser*  $C_G(x) = \{g \in G \mid g = x\}$  and the orbit-stabiliser theorem

Skript zur Vorlesung: Charaktertheorie SS 2022

yields

$$|C_G(x)| = \frac{|G|}{|[x]|} .$$

Moreover, a function  $f : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is constant on each conjugacy class of G, i.e. such that  $f(gxg^{-1}) = f(x) \forall g, x \in G$ , is called a **class function** (on G).

#### Lemma 7.8

Characters are class functions.

**Proof:** Let  $\rho_V : G \longrightarrow GL(V)$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation and let  $\chi_V$  be its character. Again, because by the properties of the trace we have  $Tr(\beta \circ \gamma) = Tr(\gamma \circ \beta)$  for all  $\mathbb{C}$ -endomorphisms  $\beta, \gamma$  of V (GDM !), it follows that for all  $g, x \in G$ ,

$$\chi_{V}(gxg^{-1}) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{V}(gxg^{-1})\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{V}(g)\rho_{V}(x)\rho_{V}(g)^{-1}\right)$$
$$= \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{V}(x)\underbrace{\rho_{V}(g)^{-1}\rho_{V}(g)}_{=\operatorname{Id}_{V}}\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{V}(x)\right) = \chi_{V}(x).$$

# Exercise 7.9 (Exercise 9, Sheet 3)

Let  $\rho_V : G \longrightarrow GL(V)$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation and let  $\chi_V$  be its character. Prove the following statements.

- (a) If  $g \in G$  is conjugate to  $g^{-1}$ , then  $\chi_V(g) \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (b) If  $g \in G$  is an element of order 2, then  $\chi_V(g) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\chi_V(g) \equiv \chi_V(1) \pmod{2}$ .

## Exercise 7.10 (The dual representation / the dual character [Exercise 10, Sheet 3])

Let  $\rho_V : G \longrightarrow GL(V)$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation.

- (a) Prove that:
  - (i) the dual space  $V^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C})$  is endowed with the structure of a  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module via

$$\begin{array}{cccc} G \times V^* & \longrightarrow & V^* \\ (g, f) & \mapsto & g.f \end{array}$$

where  $(g.f)(v) := f(g^{-1}v) \forall v \in V$ ;

- (ii) the character of the associated  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation  $\rho_{V^*}$  is then  $\chi_{V^*} = \overline{\chi_V}$ ; and
- (iii) if  $\rho_V$  decomposes as a direct sum  $\rho_{V_1} \oplus \rho_{V_2}$  of two subrepresentations, then  $\rho_{V^*} = \rho_{V^*_1} \oplus \rho_{V^*_2}$ .
- (b) Determine the duals of the 3 irreducible representations of  $S_3$  given in Example 2(d).

## 8 Orthogonality of Characters

We are now going to make use of results from the linear algebra (GDM) on the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions on G in order to develop further fundamental properties of characters.

#### Notation 8.1

We let  $\mathcal{F}(G, \mathbb{C}) := \{f : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ function}\}\ \text{denote the }\mathbb{C}\text{-vector space of }\mathbb{C}\text{-valued functions on }G.$ Clearly  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{F}(G, \mathbb{C}) = |G|\ \text{because }\{\delta_g : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, h \mapsto \delta_{gh} \mid g \in G\}\ \text{is a }\mathbb{C}\text{-basis (see GDM)}.$ Set  $\mathcal{C}l(G) := \{f \in \mathcal{F}(G, \mathbb{C}) \mid f \text{ is a class function}\}.$  This is clearly a  $\mathbb{C}\text{-subspace of }\mathcal{F}(G, \mathbb{C}),\ \text{called the space of class functions on }G.$ 

## Exercise 8.2 (Exercise 11, Sheet 3)

Find a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of  $\mathcal{C}l(G)$  and deduce that dim<sub> $\mathbb{C}</sub> <math>\mathcal{C}l(G) = |\mathcal{C}(G)|$ .</sub>

#### Proposition 8.3

The binary operation

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \langle \,,\,\rangle_G \colon & \mathcal{F}(G,\mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{F}(G,\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ & & (f_1,f_2) & \longmapsto & \langle f_1,f_2 \rangle_G := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) \overline{f_2(g)} \end{array}$$

is a scalar product on  $\mathcal{F}(G, \mathbb{C})$ .

**Proof:** It is straightforward to check that  $\langle , \rangle_G$  is sesquilinear and Hermitian (Exercise 11, Sheet 3); it is positive definite because for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}(G, \mathbb{C})$ ,

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f(g)\overline{f(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{|f(g)|^2}{|\mathbb{R}|_{>0}} \ge 0$$

and moreover  $\langle f, f \rangle = 0$  if and only if f = 0.

### Remark 8.4

Obviously, the scalar product  $\langle , \rangle_G$  restricts to a scalar product on Cl(G). Moreover, if  $f_2$  is a character of G, then by Property 7.5(d) we can write

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) \overline{f_2(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) f_2(g^{-1}).$$

The next theorem is the third key result of this lecture. It tells us that the irreducible characters of a finite group form an orthonormal system in Cl(G) with respect to the scalar product  $\langle , \rangle_G$ .

#### Theorem 8.5 (1st Orthogonality Relations)

If  $\rho_V : G \longrightarrow GL(V)$  and  $\rho_W : G \longrightarrow GL(W)$  are two irreducible  $\mathbb{C}$ -representations with characters  $\chi_V$  and  $\chi_W$  respectively, then

$$\langle \chi_V, \chi_W \rangle_G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g) \chi_W(g^{-1}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \rho_V \sim \rho_W, \\ 0 & \text{if } \rho_V \not\sim \rho_W. \end{cases}$$

**Proof:** Choose ordered  $\mathbb{C}$ -bases  $E := (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  and  $F := (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$  of V and W respectively. Then for each  $g \in G$  write  $Q(g) := (\rho_V(g))_F$  and  $P(g) := (\rho_W(g))_F$ . If  $\rho_V \not\sim \rho_W$  compute

Skript zur Vorlesung: Charaktertheorie SS 2022

$$\langle \chi_V, \chi_W \rangle_G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g) \chi_W(g^{-1}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{Tr} \left( Q(g) \right) \operatorname{Tr} \left( P(g^{-1}) \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Q(g)_{ii} \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^m P(g^{-1})_{jj} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \underbrace{\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} Q(g)_{ii} P(g^{-1})_{jj}}_{=0 \text{ by (a) of Schur's Relations}} = 0$$

and similarly if W = V, then P = Q and

$$\langle \chi_V, \chi_V \rangle_G = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \underbrace{\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} Q(g)_{ii} Q(g^{-1})_{jj}}_{=\frac{1}{n} \delta_{ij} \delta_{ij} \text{ by (b) of Schur's Relations}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} = 1.$$

# 9 Consequences of the 1st Orthogonality Relations

In this section we use the 1st Orthogonality Relations in order to deduce a series of fundamental properties of the (irreducible) characters of finite groups.

## Corollary 9.1 (Linear independence)

The irreducible characters of G are  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly independent.

**Proof:** Assume  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i \chi_i = 0$ , where  $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_s$  are pairwise distinct irreducible characters of  $G, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Then the 1st Orthogonality Relations yield

$$0 = \langle \sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i \chi_i, \chi_j \rangle_G = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i \underbrace{\langle \chi_i, \chi_j \rangle_G}_{=\delta_{ij}} = \lambda_j$$

for each  $1 \leq j \leq s$ . The claim follows.

#### Corollary 9.2 (Finiteness)

There are at most |C(G)| irreducible characters of G. In particular, there are only a finite number of them.

**Proof:** By Corollary 9.1 the irreducible characters of *G* are  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly independent. By Lemma 7.8 irreducible characters are elements of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space Cl(G). Therefore there exists at most dim<sub> $\mathbb{C}$ </sub>  $Cl(G) = |C(G)| < \infty$  of them.

#### Corollary 9.3 (Multiplicities)

Let  $\rho_V : G \longrightarrow GL(V)$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation and let  $\rho_V = \rho_{V_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{V_s}$  be a decomposition of  $\rho_V$  into irreducible subrepresentations. Then the following assertions hold.

(a) If  $\rho_W : G \longrightarrow GL(W)$  is an irreducible  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation of G, then the multiplicity of  $\rho_W$  in  $\rho_{V_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{V_s}$  is equal to  $\langle \chi_V, \chi_W \rangle_G$ .

- (b) This multiplicity is independent of the choice of the chosen decomposition of  $\rho_V$  into irreducible subrepresentations.
- **Proof:** (a) We may assume that we have chosen the labelling such that

$$ho_V=
ho_{V_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus
ho_{V_l}\oplus
ho_{V_{l+1}}\oplus\cdots\oplus
ho_{V_s}$$
 ,

where  $\rho_{V_i} \sim \rho_W \forall 1 \leq i \leq l$  and  $\rho_{V_j} \not\sim \rho_W \forall l+1 \leq j \leq s$ . Thus  $\chi_{V_i} = \chi_W \forall 1 \leq i \leq l$  by Lemma 7.3. Therefore the 1st Orthogonality Relations yield

$$\langle \chi_{V}, \chi_{W} \rangle_{G} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \langle \chi_{V_{i}}, \chi_{W} \rangle_{G} + \sum_{j=l+1}^{s} \langle \chi_{V_{j}}, \chi_{W} \rangle_{G} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \langle \chi_{W}, \chi_{W} \rangle_{G} + \sum_{j=l+1}^{s} \langle \chi_{V_{j}}, \chi_{W} \rangle_{G} = l.$$

(b) Obvious, since  $\langle \chi_V, \chi_W \rangle_G$  depends only on V and W, but not on the chosen decomposition.

We can now prove that the converse of Lemma 7.3 holds.

#### Corollary 9.4 (Equality of characters)

Let  $\rho_V : G \longrightarrow GL(V)$  and  $\rho_W : G \longrightarrow GL(W)$  be  $\mathbb{C}$ -representations with characters  $\chi_V$  and  $\chi_W$  respectively. Then:

 $\chi_V = \chi_W \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \rho_V \sim \rho_W.$ 

**Proof:** "\equiv ": The sufficient condition is the statement of Lemma 7.3.

" $\Rightarrow$ ": To prove the necessary condition decompose  $\rho_V$  and  $\rho_W$  into direct sums of irreducible subrepresentations

$$\rho_{V} = \underbrace{\rho_{V_{1,1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{V_{1,m_{1}}}}_{\text{all } \sim \rho_{V_{1}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underbrace{\rho_{V_{s,1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{V_{s,m_{s}}}}_{\text{all } \sim \rho_{V_{s}}},$$

$$\rho_{W} = \underbrace{\rho_{W_{1,1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{W_{1,\rho_{1}}}}_{\text{all } \sim \rho_{V_{1}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underbrace{\rho_{W_{s,1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{W_{s,\rho_{s}}}}_{\text{all } \sim \rho_{V_{s}}},$$

where  $m_i, p_i \ge 0$  for all  $1 \le i \le s$  and the  $\rho_{V_i}$ 's are pairwise non-equivalent irreducible  $\mathbb{C}$ -representations of G. (Some of the  $m_i, p_i$ 's may be zero!) Now, as we assume that  $\chi_V = \chi_W$ , for each  $1 \le i \le s$  Corollary 9.3 yields

$$m_i = \langle \chi_V, \chi_{V_i} 
angle_G = \langle \chi_W, \chi_{V_i} 
angle_G = p_i$$
 ,

hence  $\rho_V \sim \rho_W$ .

#### Corollary 9.5 (Irreducibility criterion)

A  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation  $\rho_V : G \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(V)$  is irreducible if and only if  $\langle \chi_V, \chi_V \rangle_G = 1$ .

**Proof:** " $\Rightarrow$ ": holds by the 1st Orthogonality Relations.

" $\Leftarrow$ ": As in the previous proof, write

$$\rho_{V} = \underbrace{\rho_{V_{1,1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{V_{1,m_{1}}}}_{\text{all } \sim \rho_{V_{1}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \underbrace{\rho_{V_{s,1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{V_{s,m_{s}}}}_{\text{all } \sim \rho_{V_{s}}}$$

where  $m_i \ge 1$  for all  $1 \le i \le s$  and the  $\rho_{V_i}$ 's are pairwise non-equivalent irreducible  $\mathbb{C}$ -representations of G. Then, using the assumption, the sesquilinearity of the scalar product and the 1st Orthogonality Relations, we obtain that

$$1 = \langle \chi_V, \chi_V \rangle_G = \sum_{i=1}^s m_i^2 \underbrace{\langle \chi_{V_i}, \chi_{V_i} \rangle_G}_{=1} = \sum_{i=1}^s m_i^2$$

Hence, w.l.o.g. we may assume that  $m_1 = 1$  and  $m_i = 0 \forall 2 \le i \le s$ , so that  $\rho_V = \rho_{V_1}$  is irreducible.

#### Theorem 9.6

The set Irr(G) is an orthonormal  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis (w.r.t.  $\langle , \rangle_G$ ) of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{Cl}(G)$  of class functions on G.

**Proof:** We already know that Irr(G) is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly independent set and also that it forms an orthonormal system of  $\mathcal{C}l(G)$  w.r.t.  $\langle , \rangle_G$ . Hence it remains to prove that Irr(G) generates  $\mathcal{C}l(G)$ . So let  $X := \langle Irr(G) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$  be the  $\mathbb{C}$ -subspace of  $\mathcal{C}l(G)$  generated by Irr(G). It follows that

$$\mathcal{C}l(G) = X \oplus X^{\perp}$$

where  $X^{\perp}$  denotes the orthogonal of X with respect to the scalar product  $\langle , \rangle_G$  (see GDM). Thus it is enough to prove that  $X^{\perp} = 0$ . So let  $f \in X^{\perp}$ , set  $\check{f} := \sum_{g \in G} \overline{f(g)}g \in \mathbb{C}G$  and we prove the following assertions:

(1)  $\check{f} \in Z(\mathbb{C}G)$  (the centre of  $\mathbb{C}G$ ): let  $h \in G$  and compute

$$h\check{f}h^{-1} = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{f(g)}hg \cdot h^{-1} \stackrel{s := hgh^{-1}}{=} \sum_{s \in G} \underbrace{\overline{f(h^{-1}sh)}}_{=f(s)} s = \sum_{s \in G} \overline{f(s)}s = \check{f}$$

Hence  $h\check{f} = \check{f}h$  and this equality extends by  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearity to the whole of  $\mathbb{C}G$ , so that  $\check{f} \in Z(\mathbb{C}G)$ . (2) If V is a simple  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module with character  $\chi_V$ , then the external multiplication by  $\check{f}$  on V is scalar multiplication by  $\frac{|G|}{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}V}\langle\chi_V, f\rangle_G \in \mathbb{C}$ : first notice that the external multiplication by  $\check{f}$  on V, i.e. the map

$$\tilde{f} \cdot - : V \longrightarrow V, v \mapsto \tilde{f} \cdot v$$

is  $\mathbb{C}G$ -linear. Indeed, for each  $x \in \mathbb{C}G$  and each  $v \in V$  we have

$$\check{f} \cdot (x \cdot v) = (\check{f}x) \cdot v = (x\check{f}) \cdot v = x \cdot (\check{f} \cdot v)$$

because  $\check{f} \in Z(\mathbb{C}G)$ . Therefore, by Schur's Lemma, there exists a scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\check{f} \cdot - = \lambda \operatorname{Id}_V$ . Moreover,

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr}(\lambda \operatorname{Id}_V) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr}(\check{f} \cdot -) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{f(g)} \underbrace{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\operatorname{mult. by} g \text{ on } V\right)}_{=\chi_V(g)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{f(g)} \chi_V(g) = \frac{|G|}{n} \langle \chi_V, f \rangle_G.$$

(3) If V is a simple  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module with character  $\chi_V$ , then the external multiplication by  $\check{f}$  on V is zero: indeed,  $\langle \chi_V, f \rangle_G = 0$  because  $f \in X^{\perp}$  and the claim follows from (2).

(4) f = 0: indeed, as the external multiplication by  $\check{f}$  is zero on every simple  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module, it is zero on every  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module, because any  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module can be decomposed as the direct sum of simple submodules by the Corollary to Maschke's Theorem. In particular, the external multiplication by  $\check{f}$  is zero on  $\mathbb{C}G$ . Hence

$$0 = \check{f} \cdot 1_{\mathbb{C}G} = \check{f} = \sum_{g \in G} \overline{f(g)}g$$

and we obtain that  $\overline{f(g)} = 0$  for each  $g \in G$  because G is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of  $\mathbb{C}G$ . But then f(g) = 0 for each  $g \in G$  and it follows that f = 0.

#### Corollary 9.7

The number of pairwise non-equivalent irreducible characters of G is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of G. In other words,

$$| | \operatorname{Irr}(G) | = | C(G) |$$
.

**Proof:** By Theorem 9.6 the set Irr(G) is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space Cl(G) of class functions on G. Hence,

$$|\operatorname{Irr}(G)| = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C}l(G) = |\mathcal{C}(G)|$$

where the second equality holds by Exercise 8.2.

## Corollary 9.8

Let  $f \in Cl(G)$ . Then the following assertions hold:

(a)  $f = \sum_{\chi \in Irr(G)} \langle f, \chi \rangle_G \chi;$ (b)  $\langle f, f \rangle_G = \sum_{\chi \in Irr(G)} \langle f, \chi \rangle_G^2;$ (c) f is a character  $\iff \langle f, \chi \rangle_G \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0} \quad \forall \ \chi \in Irr(G);$  and (d)  $f \in Irr(G) \iff f$  is a character and  $\langle f, f \rangle_G = 1.$ 

**Proof:** (a)+(b) hold for any orthonormal basis with respect to a given scalar product. (GDM!)

- (c) ' $\Rightarrow$ ': If f is a character, then by Corollary 9.3 the complex number  $\langle f, \chi_i \rangle_G$  is the multiplicity of  $\chi_i$  as a constituent of f, hence a non-negative integer.
  - '⇐': If for each  $\chi \in Irr(G)$ ,  $\langle f, \chi \rangle_G =: m_{\chi} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , then f is the character of the representation

$$\rho := \bigoplus_{\chi \in Irr(G)} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_{\chi}} \rho(\chi)$$

where  $\rho(\chi)$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation affording the character  $\chi$ .

(d) The necessary condition is given by the 1st Orthogonality Relations. The sufficient condition follows from (b) and (c).

## Exercise 9.9 (Exercise 12, Sheet 3)

Let V be a  $\mathbb{C}G$ -module (finite dimensional) with character  $\chi_V$ . Consider the  $\mathbb{C}$ -subspace  $V^G := \{v \in V \mid g \cdot v = v \forall g \in G\}$ . Prove that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V^G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{q \in G} \chi_V(q)$$

in two different ways:

- 1. considering the scalar product of  $\chi_V$  with the trivial character  $\mathbf{1}_G$ ;
- 2. seeing  $V^G$  as the image of the projector  $\pi: V \longrightarrow V$ ,  $v \mapsto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot v$ .

## 10 The Regular Character

Recall from Example 1(d) that a finite G-set X induces a permutation representation

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\rho_{\chi} \colon & G & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{GL}(V) \\
& g & \mapsto & \rho_{\chi}(g) \colon V \longrightarrow V, e_{\chi} \mapsto e_{g \cdot \chi}
\end{array}$$

where *V* is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space with basis  $\{e_x \mid x \in X\}$  (i.e. indexed by the set *X*). Given  $g \in G$  write  $Fix_X(g) := \{x \in X \mid g \cdot x = x\}$  for the set of fixed points of *g* on *X*.

## Proposition 10.1 (Character of a permutation representation)

Let X be a G-set and let  $\chi_X$  denote the character of the associated permutation representation  $\rho_X$ . Then

$$\chi_{\chi}(g) = |\operatorname{Fix}_{\chi}(g)| \quad \forall g \in G.$$

**Proof:** Let  $g \in G$ . The diagonal entries of the matrix of  $\rho_{\chi}(g)$  expressed in the basis  $B := \{e_x \mid x \in X\}$  are:

$$\left(\left(\rho_X(g)\right)_B\right)_{xx} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g \cdot x = x \\ 0 & \text{if } g \cdot x \neq x \end{cases} \quad \forall x \in X$$

Hence taking traces, we get  $\chi_{\chi}(g) = \sum_{x \in X} \left( \left( \rho_{\chi}(g) \right)_{B} \right)_{xx} = |\operatorname{Fix}_{\chi}(g)|.$ 

For the action of *G* on itself by left multiplication, by Example 1(d),  $\rho_{\chi} = \rho_{reg}$  is the regular representation of *G*. In this case, we obtain the values of the *regular character*.

## Corollary 10.2 (The regular character)

Let  $\chi_{\mathrm{reg}}$  denote the character of the regular representation  $ho_{\mathrm{reg}}$  of G. Then

$$\chi_{\rm reg}(g) = \begin{cases} |G| & \text{if } g = 1_G, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof:** This follows immediately from Proposition 10.1 since  $Fix_G(1_G) = G$  and  $Fix_G(g) = \emptyset$  for every  $g \in G \setminus \{1_G\}$ .

## Theorem 10.3 (Decomposition of the regular representation)

The multiplicity of an irreducible  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation of G as a constituent of  $\rho_{reg}$  equals its degree. In other words,

$$\chi_{\operatorname{reg}} = \sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)\chi$$

**Proof:** By Corollary 9.3 we have  $\chi_{\text{reg}} = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \langle \chi_{\text{reg}}, \chi \rangle_G \chi$ , where for each  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ ,

$$\langle \chi_{\text{reg}}, \chi \rangle_G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ g \in G \\ \text{bu Cor. 10.2}}} \underbrace{\chi_{\text{reg}}(g)}_{\substack{=\delta_{1g}|G| \\ \text{bu Cor. 10.2}}} \overline{\chi(g)} = \frac{|G|}{|G|} \chi(1) = \chi(1) \,.$$

The claim follows.

### Remark 10.4

In particular, the theorem tells us that each irreducible  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation (considered up to equivalence) occurs with multiplicity at least one in a decomposition of the regular representation into irreducible subrepresentations.

## Corollary 10.5 (Degree formula)

The order of the group G is given in terms of its irreducible character by the formula

$$|G| = \sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)^2 \,.$$

**Proof**: Evaluating the regular character at  $1 \in G$  yields

$$|G| = \chi_{\operatorname{reg}}(1) = \sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)\chi(1) = \sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)} \chi(1)^2.$$

## Exercise 10.6 (Exercise 13(b), Sheet 4)

Use the degree formula to give a second proof of Proposition 6.1. In other words, prove that if G is a finite abelian group, then

 $Irr(G) = \{ linear characters of G \}.$