

ROBINSON'S CONJECTURE ON HEIGHTS OF CHARACTERS

ZHICHENG FENG, CONGHUI LI, YANJUN LIU, GUNTER MALLE AND JIPING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Geoffrey Robinson conjectured in 1996 that the p -part of character degrees in a p -block of a finite group can be bounded in terms of the center of a defect group of the block. We prove this conjecture for all primes $p \neq 2$ for all finite groups. Our argument relies on a reduction by Murai to the case of quasi-simple groups which are then studied using deep results on blocks of finite reductive groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Which prime powers can occur as divisors of irreducible character degrees of finite groups? This question has a long history with many important results and famous open problems. In 1996 Geoffrey Robinson put forward a conjecture on the maximal power of a prime that may divide a character degree. To formulate it, we need to introduce the notion of defect of a character. Let G be a finite group and p be a prime. Then the p -defect of an irreducible character $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ is the integer $\text{def}(\chi)$ such that $|G|_p = p^{\text{def}(\chi)}\chi(1)_p$; here n_p denotes the p -part of an integer n . The answer proposed by Robinson [28] is as follows.:

Conjecture (Robinson). *Let G be a finite group, p a prime and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ lying in a p -block of G with defect group D . Then*

$$p^{\text{def}(\chi)} \geq |Z(D)|, \tag{RC}$$

with equality if and only if D is abelian.

The *height* of a character χ in a block with defect group D is defined to be the integer $\text{ht}(\chi)$ such that $\chi(1)_p |D| = p^{\text{ht}(\chi)} |G|_p$. So the conjecture claims that

$$p^{\text{ht}(\chi)} \leq |D : Z(D)|$$

with equality if and only if D is abelian. For abelian defect groups D , this is the assertion of the direction of Brauer's famous height zero conjecture the proof of which has recently been completed by Kessar and Malle [16]. Thus Robinson's conjecture generalises this direction of Brauer's height zero conjecture. For G a p -group it reduces to the classical assertion that $\chi(1)$ always divides $|G : Z(G)|$.

Already in 1941, Brauer [3] proved that $p^{\text{ht}(\chi)} \leq |D|/p^2$ for blocks with non-abelian defect group D , and in 1968 he showed [4] that $p^{\text{ht}(\chi)} \leq |D|/\exp(Z(D))$. In 1961, Fong [13]

Date: May 20, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20C15, 20C33.

Key words and phrases. Robinson's conjecture, heights of characters, defects of characters.

The first and fourth author gratefully acknowledge financial support by SFB TRR 195, and the others by NSFC (No. 11631001). In addition, the third author gratefully acknowledges financial support by NSFC (No. 11661042) and the Key Laboratory of Mathematics and Its Applications of Peking University.

proved Robinson's conjecture for the class of p -solvable groups, long before its formulation, and in 1979, Watanabe [33] showed that in Fong's result the inequality is always strict when D is non-abelian.

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Robinson's conjecture holds for all finite groups for all odd primes.*

Our proof crucially relies on the reduction given by Murai [23, Thm. 4.6] of Robinson's conjecture to quasi-simple groups. We improve this reduction in Theorem 2.3 to show that in fact any *minimal* counterexample has to occur for a block of a quasi-simple group. We then appeal to the classification of finite simple groups and the deep results on the block theory of these groups, as well as to the proven direction of Brauer's height zero conjecture, to verify that their blocks do not provide minimal counterexamples. While we handle many quasi-simple groups for all primes, our restriction that $p \neq 2$ comes from the fact that not enough seems yet to be known on quasi-isolated blocks of exceptional groups of Lie type in bad characteristic. This case seems out of reach at present.

According to Robinson [28, Thm. 5.1] his conjecture would follow from a strengthened form of Dade's ordinary conjecture (see also Eaton [10]). In this sense our result can be seen as further evidence towards Dade's (yet unproven) conjecture.

Remark 1. It is always the case that the degree of an irreducible character divides the index of any (maximal) abelian normal subgroup. The corresponding sharpening of (RC) where $Z(D)$ is replaced by a maximal abelian normal subgroup of D fails to hold, though. The smallest counterexample is $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(3)$ with $p = 2$.

The paper is built up as follows. In Section 2 we show that minimal counterexamples occur for quasi-simple groups. We then deal with the sporadic and the exceptional covering groups in Section 3, and the alternating groups in Section 4. The groups of Lie type for their defining prime are considered in Section 5. The non-defining good primes are then dealt with in Section 6, while the case of odd bad primes is considered in Section 7. In that final section we also give the proof of Theorem 1.

Acknowledgement: We thank the anonymous referees for some helpful remarks and for alerting us to the early history of Robinson's conjecture.

2. GENERAL RESULTS

Throughout this paper, we fix a prime p . Let ν be the exponential valuation associated to p , normalised so that $\nu(p) = 1$. For a finite group G and a subgroup H of G , we write $\nu(G)$ and $\nu(G : H)$ for $\nu(|G|)$ and $\nu(|G : H|)$ respectively.

We start out by showing, based on the reduction of Murai [23] that a minimal counterexample to Robinson's Conjecture (RC) necessarily has to occur for a block of a quasi-simple group. Let G be a finite group and B a p -block of G . We say that (G, B) is a *minimal counterexample to (RC)* if (RC) fails for B but does hold for all p -blocks B_1 of groups G_1 with $|G_1/Z(G_1)| < |G/Z(G)|$. The following result [16, Thm 1.1] allows us to focus on p -blocks of finite groups with non-abelian defect groups.

Theorem 2.1 (Kessar–Malle). *If B is a p -block of a finite group with abelian defect groups then all ordinary irreducible characters in B have height zero. In particular, they satisfy (RC).*

Murai showed that if (RC) fails, then there is already a block of a quasi-simple group for which the conjecture does not hold. In our proofs, we will show that blocks of quasi-simple groups are not *minimal* counterexamples to (RC). Thus we need to make the connection between Murai's reduction and our results.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a finite group. Assume that (RC) holds for all quasi-simple groups L such that $|L/Z(L)| \leq |G/Z(G)|$. Then no p -block of G is a minimal counterexample to (RC).*

Proof. Assume that some p -block of G is a minimal counterexample, so that G is non-abelian. Let N be a subgroup of G such that $Z(G) \leq N$ and $N/Z(G)$ is a maximal normal subgroup of $G/Z(G)$.

We claim that the condition of [23, Thm. 4.3] holds for N , i.e., that (RC) holds for every p -block of every central extension of H/N for every subgroup H with $N \leq H \leq G$. Let L_1 be a central extension of H/N . If $H < G$, then $|L_1/Z(L_1)| \leq |H/N| < |G/Z(G)|$, hence the claim holds for L_1 since G was chosen minimal. Thus we have that $H = G$, and L_1 is a central extension of the simple group G/N . If G/N is of prime order, then L_1 is abelian, and (RC) is trivially true. If G/N is non-abelian simple, then $L = [L_1, L_1]$ is quasi-simple. Since $|L/Z(L)| = |G/N| \leq |G/Z(G)|$, it follows by assumption that (RC) holds for every p -block of L . Then (RC) holds for every p -block of L_1 by the proof of [23, Thm. 4.4], and the claim follows.

Now the result follows from the same argument as for [23, Thm. 4.6]. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let p be a prime. If no p -block of a quasi-simple group is a minimal counterexample to (RC), then (RC) is true for every p -block of any finite group.*

Proof. If (RC) is true for all quasi-simple groups, then we are done by [23, Thm. 4.6]. Otherwise, assume that G is a quasi-simple group which is a counterexample to (RC) with $|G/Z(G)|$ minimal among quasi-simple groups. By assumption there exists a finite group H such that (RC) does not hold for some p -block of H and $|H/Z(H)| < |G/Z(G)|$. By Lemma 2.2, there is a quasi-simple group L such that $|L/Z(L)| \leq |H/Z(H)|$ and (RC) does not hold for some p -block of L . However, we have $|L/Z(L)| < |G/Z(G)|$, contradicting the choice of G . This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.4. *If no block of a quasi-simple group with cyclic center is a minimal counterexample to (RC), then (RC) is true for all finite groups.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.3 we may assume that a minimal counterexample to (RC) is a p -block B of a quasi-simple group G . Let D be a defect group of B . Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$ and $K := \ker(\chi) \cap Z(G)$. Then $N := \mathbf{O}_p(K) \leq D$ and $\bar{D} = D/N$ is a defect group of the p -block \bar{B} of G/K dominated by B . Let $\bar{\chi} \in \text{Irr}(\bar{B})$ such that χ is the inflation of $\bar{\chi}$. Now clearly $\text{def}(\chi) = |N|\text{def}(\bar{\chi})$, while $|Z(D)| \leq |N| \cdot |Z(\bar{D})|$, so \bar{B} is a counterexample as well. As χ is irreducible, $Z(G/K)$ is cyclic by Schur's lemma. The claim follows. \square

3. SPORADIC GROUPS

In this section we verify Robinson's conjecture for p -blocks of covering groups of the sporadic simple groups, as well as for those of exceptional covering groups of groups of Lie type. We start by recording some general observations.

Lemma 3.1. *Let B be a p -block with defect group D such that $|Z(D)| = p$. Then Robinson's conjecture holds for B .*

Proof. If B contains characters of defect at most 1, then by a well-known result of Brauer (see [3]) the block has defect 1, and the defect group D is cyclic, hence abelian. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Robinson's conjecture holds for all p -blocks with a non-abelian defect group of order p^3 .*

For convenience we will also use the following result [29, Thm. 13.6]:

Theorem 3.3 (Sambale). *Robinson's conjecture holds for all 2-blocks with defect group of order 16.*

Lemma 3.4. *Let N be a normal subgroup of G of p' -order. Write $\overline{G} = G/N$. Let \overline{B} be a p -block of \overline{G} , and B a p -block of G dominating \overline{B} . If B satisfies Robinson's conjecture then so does \overline{B} .*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of [24, Thm. 9.9 (c)]. In fact, the sets $\text{Irr}(B)$ and $\text{Irr}(\overline{B})$ are identified under inflation, and the defect groups of B and \overline{B} are isomorphic. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be quasi-simple such that $S = G/Z(G)$ is one of the 26 sporadic simple groups or ${}^2F_4(2)'$. Then for all p , Robinson's conjecture holds for all p -blocks of G .*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, we need only consider p -blocks of G with non-abelian defect groups. It turns out that there are at most two kinds of such p -blocks in each case. The blocks of defect 3 are not counterexamples by Lemma 3.2, nor are those of defect 4 when $p = 2$ by Theorem 3.3. The remaining blocks are listed in Table 1, where B is a p -block of G with defect d , m is the maximal height of the irreducible characters in B and $\nu_Z = \nu(P : Z(P))$ for some defect group P of B . We denote by B_0 the principal p -block of G , and the notation $B_0^{(j)}$ means that there are j p -blocks of G with the same invariants d, m and ν_Z as the p -block B_0 .

Here are some details of how to construct the table. The heights and defects of blocks of G are obtained from the GAP character table library [31]. The structure of defect groups of many non-principal 2-blocks of sporadic simple groups and their covering groups is given by Landrock [17]. (See also the proof of [16, Prop. 8.1].)

In some cases, the center of a Sylow p -subgroup of G is available using GAP [31] or is shown in [30]. For the remaining cases, we apply one of the following straightforward observations:

- (1) If $Q \leq P$, then $\nu(P : Z(P)) \geq \nu(Q : Z(Q))$.
- (2) If $Q \trianglelefteq P$, then $\nu(P : Z(P)) \geq \nu(P/Q : Z(P/Q)) + \nu(Q : Z(Q))$.

Also, we analyse the structure of the centraliser of some element in G of order p given in [30] and the references therein.

TABLE 1. Blocks of sporadic simple groups with non-abelian defect $d \geq 4 + \delta_{2,p}$

Group	p	(B, d)	(m, ν_Z)	Group	p	(B, d)	(m, ν_Z)	Group	p	(B, d)	(m, ν_Z)
M_{12}	2	$(B_0, 6)$	(3, 5)	Fi_{22}	2	$(B_0, 17)$	(11, 16)	$3.Suz$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 13)$	(9, 12)
$2.M_{12}$	2	$(B_0, 7)$	(3, ≥ 5)		3	$(B_0, 9)$	(5, 8)		3	$(B_0, 8)$	(3, ≥ 5)
M_{22}	2	$(B_0, 7)$	(3, 6)	$2.Fi_{22}$	2	$(B_0, 18)$	(11, ≥ 16)	$6.Suz$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 14)$	(9, ≥ 12)
$2.M_{22}$	2	$(B_0, 8)$	(3, ≥ 6)		3	$(B_0^{(2)}, 9)$	(5, 8)		3	$(B_0^{(2)}, 8)$	(3, ≥ 5)
$4.M_{22}$	2	$(B_0, 9)$	(5, ≥ 6)	$3.Fi_{22}$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 17)$	(11, 16)	$O'N$	2	$(B_0, 9)$	(5, 8)
$3.M_{22}$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 7)$	(3, ≥ 6)		3	$(B_0, 10)$	(6, ≥ 8)	$3.O'N$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 9)$	(5, 8)
$6.M_{22}$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 8)$	(3, ≥ 6)	$6.Fi_{22}$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 18)$	(11, ≥ 16)		3	$(B_0, 5)$	(2, 4)
$12.M_{22}$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 9)$	(5, ≥ 6)		3	$(B_0^{(2)}, 10)$	(6, ≥ 8)	HN	2	$(B_0, 14)$	(8, ≥ 9)
M_{23}	2	$(B_0, 7)$	(3, 6)	Fi_{23}	2	$(B_0, 18)$	(11, 16)		3	$(B_1, 6)$	(3, ≥ 4)
M_{24}	2	$(B_0, 10)$	(6, 9)		3	$(B_0, 13)$	(8, 12)		5	$(B_0, 6)$	(3, ≥ 4)
J_2	2	$(B_0, 7)$	(4, 6)	Fi'_{24}	2	$(B_0, 21)$	(14, ≥ 18)	Ly	2	$(B_0, 8)$	(5, 7)
$2.J_2$	2	$(B_0, 8)$	(4, ≥ 6)		3	$(B_0, 16)$	(10, 15)			$(B_1, 7)$	(4, 6)
J_3	2	$(B_0, 7)$	(4, 6)	$3.Fi'_{24}$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 21)$	(14, ≥ 18)		3	$(B_0, 7)$	(3, ≥ 5)
	3	$(B_0, 5)$	(1, 3)		3	$(B_0, 17)$	(10, ≥ 15)	Th	5	$(B_0, 6)$	(3, 5)
$3.J_3$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 7)$	(4, 6)	HS	2	$(B_0, 9)$	(5, 8)		2	$(B_0, 15)$	(9, ≥ 13)
	3	$(B_0, 6)$	(2, ≥ 3)	$2.HS$	2	$(B_0, 10)$	(5, ≥ 8)		3	$(B_0, 10)$	(5, 9)
J_4	2	$(B_0, 21)$	(14, ≥ 18)	McL	2	$(B_0, 7)$	(4, 6)	B	2	$(B_0, 41)$	(29, ≥ 35)
Co_3	2	$(B_0, 10)$	(6, 9)		3	$(B_0, 6)$	(3, 5)		3	$(B_0, 13)$	(8, 12)
	3	$(B_0, 7)$	(4, 6)	$3.McL$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 7)$	(4, 6)		5	$(B_0, 6)$	(3, 5)
Co_2	2	$(B_0, 18)$	(13, 17)		3	$(B_0, 7)$	(3, ≥ 5)	$2.B$	2	$(B_0, 42)$	(29, ≥ 35)
	3	$(B_0, 6)$	(3, 5)	He	2	$(B_0, 10)$	(6, 9)		3	$(B_0^{(2)}, 13)$	(8, 12)
Co_1	2	$(B_0, 21)$	(16, ≥ 19)	Ru	2	$(B_0, 14)$	(7, 13)		5	$(B_0^{(2)}, 6)$	(3, 5)
	3	$(B_0, 9)$	(6, 8)	$2.Ru$	2	$(B_0, 15)$	(7, ≥ 13)	M	2	$(B_0, 46)$	(32, ≥ 35)
	5	$(B_0, 4)$	(2, 3)	Suz	2	$(B_0, 13)$	(9, 12)		3	$(B_0, 20)$	(13, ≥ 15)
$2.Co_1$	2	$(B_0, 22)$	(16, ≥ 19)		3	$(B_0, 7)$	(3, 5)		5	$(B_0, 9)$	(5, ≥ 6)
	3	$(B_0^{(2)}, 9)$	(6, 8)	$2.Suz$	2	$(B_0, 14)$	(9, ≥ 12)		7	$(B_0, 6)$	(3, ≥ 4)
	5	$(B_0^{(2)}, 4)$	(2, 3)		3	$(B_0^{(2)}, 7)$	(3, 5)	${}^2F_4(2)'$	2	$(B_0, 11)$	(6, 10)

If $G = J_4$ and P is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G , then $|P| = 2^{21}$ and according to [30] the centraliser $C_G(z)$ of a 2-central involution z in G has the structure EM , where E is an extra-special group 2_-^{1+12} and the derived subgroup M' has index 2 in M and is isomorphic to the 6-fold cover of M_{22} . So $\nu_Z \geq 12 + 6 = 18$.

If $G = Co_1$ and P is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G , then $|P| = 2^{21}$ and G has a 2-central involution z such that $\mathbf{O}_2(C)$ is an extra-special group 2_-^{1+8} and $C/\mathbf{O}_2(C)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{O}_8^+(2)$, where $C = C_G(z)$. Since the Sylow 2-subgroups of $\mathbf{O}_8^+(2)$ have center of order 2, it follows that $\nu_Z \geq 8 + 11 = 19$.

If $G = Fi'_{24}$ then Sylow 2-subgroups of G have order 2^{21} and G has a central involution z such that $\mathbf{O}_2(C)$ is an extra-special group 2_-^{1+12} and $C/\mathbf{O}_2(C)$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $3.U_4(3).2$, where $C = C_G(z)$. Since a Sylow 2-subgroup of $U_4(3)$ has center of order 2, it follows that $\nu_Z \geq 12 + 6 = 18$.

The Sylow 3-subgroups of $3.O'N$ are extra-special groups of order 3^{1+8} and exponent 3.

Suppose $G = HN$. If P is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G , then $|P| = 2^{14}$ and the centraliser of a 2-central involution of G is the extension of 2_-^{1+8} by the wreath product of \mathfrak{A}_5 by C_2 . This implies that $\nu_Z \geq 9$. If P is a Sylow 3-subgroup of G , then $|P| = 3^6$ and the centraliser of a 3-central element of order 3 in G is a 3-constrained extension of the extra-special group of order 3^5 by $\mathrm{SL}_2(5)$. Therefore, we have $\nu_Z \geq 5$ in this case. This also holds if P is a Sylow 5-subgroup of G .

If $G = Ly$ then G has a Sylow 2-subgroup isomorphic to that of $2.\mathfrak{A}_{11}$, hence $\nu_Z = 7$ for the principal 2-block of G . Note that G has another 2-block with non-abelian defect groups which are actually isomorphic to the Sylow 2-subgroups of $2.\mathfrak{A}_8$. So $\nu_Z = 6$ in this case. For $p = 3$, G has an element of order 3 whose centraliser in G is a faithful split extension of a special group of order 3^6 and exponent 3 by $\mathrm{SL}_2(5)$, which implies $\nu_Z \geq 5$ for B_0 . Finally, if P is a Sylow 5-subgroup of G , then we consider the centraliser of an element of order 3 in G which has a subgroup isomorphic to a faithful split extension of a special group of order 5^5 and exponent 5 by a cyclic group of order 5. It then follows that $\nu_Z = 5$ for B_0 .

Suppose $G = Th$. A Sylow 2-subgroup of G has order 2^{15} and the centraliser of any involution of G is a 2-constrained extension of 2_-^{1+8} by \mathfrak{A}_9 , which implies $\nu_Z \geq 13$ in this case. If P is a Sylow 3-subgroup of G , then by [30], we have $|Z(P)| = 3$, and so $\nu_Z = 9$.

Suppose $G = B$, the Baby Monster. If P is a Sylow p -subgroup of G , then we investigate the maximal subgroups $2.^2E_6(2).2$, Fi_{23} and $HN.2$ of G from [9] or [34, Table 5.7] to get the desired estimate of ν_Z for the cases $p = 2, 3$ or 5 , respectively.

Finally, suppose $G = M$, the Monster. For $p = 2$ we use the maximal subgroup $2.B$ of G to obtain $\nu_Z \geq 35$. For $p = 3$ we look at the maximal subgroup $3.Fi_{24}$ of G to obtain $\nu_Z \geq 15$. According to [15, Tab. 5.3z] a Sylow 5-subgroup of G has an extra-special subgroup 5^{1+6} of exponent 5, hence $\nu_Z \geq 6$, and a Sylow 7-subgroup of G has an extra-special subgroup 7^{1+4} of exponent 7, hence $\nu_Z \geq 4$. Now the table is established, finishing the proof. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let G be an exceptional covering group of a simple group of Lie type or of the alternating groups \mathfrak{A}_6 or \mathfrak{A}_7 . Then Robinson's conjecture holds for all p -blocks of G for all primes p .*

TABLE 2. Non-generic Schur multipliers

S	$M(S)$	S	$M(S)$	S	$M(S)$
$L_2(4)$	C_2	$U_4(3)$	$C_{12} \times C_3$	$G_2(3)$	C_3
$L_3(2)$	C_2	$U_6(2)$	$C_6 \times C_2$	$G_2(4)$	C_2
$L_2(9)$	C_6	$\mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$	C_2	$F_4(2)$	C_2
$L_3(4)$	$C_{12} \times C_4$	$O_7(3)$	C_6	${}^2E_6(2)$	$C_6 \times C_2$
$L_4(2)$	C_2	$O_8^+(2)$	$C_2 \times C_2$	\mathfrak{A}_7	C_6
$U_4(2)$	C_2	${}^2B_2(8)$	$C_2 \times C_2$		

Proof. The Schur multipliers of the groups mentioned in the theorem can be found in [9] and [22, Table 24.3]. For convenience, we list them in Table 2. The character tables of all these groups can be found in GAP [31], as well as the defects of p -blocks and the heights of irreducible characters of G .

As we only list exceptional coverings, we do not consider the simple groups themselves, nor $2.L_2(4) = 2.\mathfrak{A}_5$, $2.L_2(9) = 2.\mathfrak{A}_6$, $2.L_3(2) = 2.L_2(7)$, $3.L_3(4)$, $2.L_4(2) = 2.\mathfrak{A}_8$, $2.U_4(2) = 2.S_4(3)$, $4.U_4(3)$, $3.U_6(2)$, $2.O_7(3)$ or $3.{}^2E_6(2)$. Also, by Corollary 2.4 we only need to look at coverings with cyclic center. Observe that when the universal covering

TABLE 3. Blocks of exceptional covering groups with non-abelian defect $d \geq 4$

Group	p	(B, d)	(m, ν_Z)	Group	p	(B, d)	(m, ν_Z)	Group	p	(B, d)	(m, ν_Z)
$2.L_3(4)$	2	$(B_0, 7)$	$(2, \geq 4)$	$2.U_6(2)$	2	$(B_0, 16)$	$(10, \geq 14)$	$2.^2B_2(8)$	2	$(B_0, 7)$	$(3, \geq 4)$
$4_1.L_3(4)$	2	$(B_0, 8)$	$(4, \geq 5)$		3	$(B_0^{(2)}, 6)$	$(3, 5)$	$3.G_2(3)$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 6)$	$(3, 5)$
$4_2.L_3(4)$	2	$(B_0, 8)$	$(4, \geq 5)$	$6.U_6(2)$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 16)$	$(10, \geq 14)$		3	$(B_0, 7)$	$(3, \geq 4)$
$6.L_3(4)$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 7)$	$(2, 4)$		3	$(B_0^{(2)}, 7)$	$(3, \geq 5)$	$2.G_2(4)$	2	$(B_0, 13)$	$(6, \geq 10)$
$12_1.L_3(4)$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 8)$	$(4, \geq 5)$	$2.Sp_6(2)$	2	$(B_0, 10)$	$(6, \geq 7)$	$2.F_4(2)$	2	$(B_0, 25)$	$(12, \geq 22)$
$12_2.L_3(4)$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 8)$	$(4, \geq 5)$		3	$(B_0^{(2)}, 4)$	$(2, 3)$		3	$(B_0^{(2)}, 6)$	$(3, 5)$
$3_i.U_4(3)$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 7)$	$(4, 6)$	$3.O_7(3)$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 9)$	$(4, 7)$	$2.^2E_6(2)$	2	$(B_0, 37)$	$(25, \geq 35)$
$i = 1, 2$	3	$(B_0, 7)$	$(3, \geq 5)$		3	$(B_0, 10)$	$(6, \geq 8)$		3	$(B_0^{(2)}, 9)$	$(5, 8)$
$6_i.U_4(3)$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 8)$	$(4, \geq 6)$	$6.O_7(3)$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 10)$	$(6, \geq 7)$	$6.^2E_6(2)$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 37)$	$(25, \geq 35)$
$i = 1, 2$	3	$(B_0^{(2)}, 7)$	$(3, \geq 5)$		3	$(B_0^{(2)}, 10)$	$(6, \geq 8)$		3	$(B_0^{(2)}, 10)$	$(6, \geq 8)$
$12_i.U_4(3)$	2	$(B_0^{(3)}, 9)$	$(5, \geq 6)$	$2.O_8^+(2)$	2	$(B_0, 13)$	$(9, \geq 11)$				
$i = 1, 2$	3	$(B_0^{(4)}, 7)$	$(3, \geq 5)$		3	$(B_0^{(2)}, 5)$	$(2, 3)$				

group has non-cyclic center, then often all cyclic p -subgroups of the center of a given order are conjugate under the outer automorphism group [9], and then just one such extension has to be considered in each case.

By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.2 we may focus on p -blocks B of G with non-abelian defect groups P of defect $d \geq 4$. In particular, we have $p = 2$ or 3 and by Theorem 3.3 we may assume $d \geq 5$ when $p = 2$. We list them in Table 3, where d, m, ν_Z, B_0 and $B_0^{(j)}$ have the same meaning as in Table 1. (Notice that in some cases we need to study the centraliser of some p -element of G . Again, we do not try to get the exact number, so sometimes the numbers in Table 3 are just lower bounds on ν_Z big enough for our purpose.) \square

4. ALTERNATING GROUPS

We next discuss alternating groups. For odd primes these have essentially been dealt with by Bessenrodt and Olsson [1].

Theorem 4.1. *Let H be quasi-simple such that $H/Z(H) = \mathfrak{A}_n$ with $n \geq 5$. Then for all primes p , Robinson's conjecture holds for all p -blocks of H .*

Proof. By Theorem 3.6 we may assume that $H = \mathfrak{A}_n$ or the 2-fold cover $2.\mathfrak{A}_n$ of \mathfrak{A}_n . Bessenrodt and Olsson showed that Robinson's conjecture holds for all p -blocks of $2.\mathfrak{S}_n$, for all primes p (see [1, Thm. 4.9]). Therefore, for p odd, the result follows by Lemma 3.4 and the Clifford theory with respect to p -blocks.

So now let $p = 2$. Let b be a 2-block of H . We first assume $H = \mathfrak{A}_n$. Let G be the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n , B a 2-block of G covering b , and P a defect group of B . By the proven Nakayama conjecture [25, Thm. 11.1], the 2-blocks of G are parameterised by the 2-cores of partitions of n . If B corresponds to a 2-core κ , then by [25, Prop. 11.3], P is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_{2w} , where $w := \frac{n-|\kappa|}{2}$ is the so-called weight of B . Let $Q = P \cap \mathfrak{A}_n$, a defect group of b , isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of \mathfrak{A}_{2w} .

If $w \leq 2$ then Q is abelian, and if $w = 3$ then Q is non-abelian of order p^3 . For these two cases, the result follows by Theorem 2.1 and by Corollary 3.2, respectively. So we

may assume $w > 3$ in the following. Write $w = 2^{a_1} + \cdots + 2^{a_t}$, where $a_1 > \cdots > a_t \geq 0$. Then, if we denote by $X_i = C_2 \wr \cdots \wr C_2$ the iterated wreath product of a_i copies of the group C_2 of order 2, then by [25, Prop. 11.3], P is isomorphic to $X_{a_1+1} \times \cdots \times X_{a_t+1}$. Hence $\nu(P : Z(P)) = 2w - 2t$. By [25, Prop. 11.9], the heights of characters in B are bounded by $w - t$. So the heights of characters in b are also bounded by $w - t$, and thus the result follows.

Now we assume $H = 2.\mathfrak{A}_n$ and let G be a 2-fold cover $2.\mathfrak{S}_n$ of \mathfrak{S}_n . By [24, Thm. 9.10], there is a bijection between the set of 2-blocks of G and those of \mathfrak{S}_n . If B corresponds to a 2-block of \mathfrak{S}_n with weight w , then a defect group P is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $2.\mathfrak{S}_{2w}$, hence a defect group Q of b is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $2.\mathfrak{A}_{2w}$. Note that we may assume that $w \geq 2$, and that the Sylow 2-subgroups of $2.\mathfrak{A}_4$ and $2.\mathfrak{A}_6$ are the quaternion groups Q_8, Q_{16} respectively. In addition, if $w > 3$ then by [32, Lemma 3.2], we have $|Z(Q)| = 2$. Thus we always have $|Z(Q)| = 2$ for $w \geq 2$. Now the result follows by Lemma 3.1. \square

5. GROUPS OF LIE TYPE IN THEIR DEFINING CHARACTERISTIC

In this section let \mathbf{G} be a simple algebraic group of simply connected type over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p and $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ a Steinberg endomorphism such that $G = \mathbf{G}^F$ is quasi-simple.

Theorem 5.1. *Let B be a p -block of $G = \mathbf{G}^F$, where \mathbf{G} is as above. Then B is not a minimal counterexample to Robinson's conjecture.*

Proof. First note that we need not consider \mathbf{G} of type A_1 since Sylow p -subgroups of these groups are abelian. Let q be the absolute value of the eigenvalues of F in its action on the character group of an F -stable maximal torus of \mathbf{G} . Let N be the number of positive roots of the root system of \mathbf{G} . First assume that G is not a Suzuki or Ree group. By the results of Lusztig [18], for any $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ there are integers $a_\chi \geq 0$, $n_\chi \geq 1$ and a product of cyclotomic polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ (in particular, f is not divisible by X) such that

$$\chi(1) = \frac{1}{n_\chi} q^{a_\chi} f(q). \quad (*)$$

Let $A_\chi := a_\chi + \deg(f)$ be the degree of the degree polynomial of χ . Then the Alvis–Curtis–Kawanaka–Lusztig dual $\psi := D_{\mathbf{G}}(\chi)$ has the property that

$$\psi(1) = \pm \frac{1}{n_\chi} q^{N-A_\chi} f(q)$$

(see e.g. [18, (8.5.12)]). Now duality is an involution, so $D_{\mathbf{G}}^2(\chi) = D_{\mathbf{G}}(\psi) = \chi$, that is, $a_\chi = N - A_\psi$. Hence the precise power of p dividing $\chi(1)$ is q^{N-A_ψ} , with $\psi = D_{\mathbf{G}}(\chi)$.

We now claim that for all $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ we have $\chi(1)_p < q^{N-1}$ unless χ is the Steinberg character of G (see e.g. [8, §6]). By what we said before, it is sufficient for this to see that $A_\psi > 1$ unless $\chi = D_{\mathbf{G}}(\psi)$ is the Steinberg character, that is, unless $\psi = 1_G$. Clearly, $A_\chi = 0$ means $\psi = 1_G$. The explicit formulas for A_ψ given, for example, in [8, §13] show that $A_\psi > 1$ in all other cases.

Now the Steinberg character is of p -defect zero, so certainly does not provide a counterexample. All other blocks have full defect, that is, any $U \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ is a defect group (see [7, Thm. 6.18]). Note that $|U| = q^N$. Then $|Z(U)| = q$ unless G is of type $B_n(2^f)$,

$F_4(2^f)$ or $G_2(3^f)$, while in the latter cases $|Z(U)| = q^2$, see [15, Thm. 3.3.1]. Hence we are done in the case when $|Z(U)| = q$ as then

$$\frac{|G|_p}{\chi(1)_p} = \frac{q^N}{\chi(1)_p} \geq \frac{q^N}{q^{N-A_\psi}} = q^{A_\psi} > q = |Z(U)|.$$

In the cases when $|Z(U)| = q^2$ it is again easy to check from the values of A_ψ in [8, §13] that here in fact $\chi(1)_p < q^{N-2}$ unless χ is the Steinberg character, which again allows us to conclude.

For the Suzuki and Ree groups, the above arguments do go through *mutatis mutandis*, replacing, for example cyclotomic polynomials over \mathbb{Z} by cyclotomic polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{p}]$. Here we always have $|Z(U)| = q^2$ (see [27, (5.6)], [26, Thm. 4.14]; note that in this case q is not an integer), but again all a_χ for χ not the Steinberg character are at most $N - 2$. \square

For primes p that are good for \mathbf{G} , this result also follows from [14, Thm. 1].

6. GOOD PRIMES

To conform with the usual notation in block theory of finite reductive groups, from now on we change our notation and consider ℓ -blocks, for a prime ℓ , and let p denote the characteristic of the underlying field of a linear algebraic group. Let us start out with the following completely elementary observation, which can be thought of as an analogue of (RC) for conjugacy class lengths:

Lemma 6.1. *Let D be a non-abelian ℓ -group. Then $|C_D(t)| > |Z(D)|$ for all $t \in D$.*

Proof. If $t \in Z(D)$ the claim holds. Else $\langle Z(D), t \rangle$ centralises t and has order larger than $|Z(D)|$. \square

Roughly speaking Robinson's conjecture for groups of Lie type in cross characteristic should be a consequence of this observation. In order to explain this, let us look at the principal ℓ -block B_0 of a finite reductive group G in characteristic different from ℓ . Then $\text{Irr}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_\ell(G, 1) = \sqcup_t \mathcal{E}(G, t)$, where t runs over the ℓ -elements of the dual group G^* . Moreover, by the Jordan decomposition of characters, there are bijections $J_t : \mathcal{E}(G, t) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(C_{G^*}(t), 1)$ with $\chi(1) = |G^* : C_{G^*}(t)|_p J_t(\chi)(1)$. In particular, this means that χ and $J_t(\chi)$ have the same defect in their ambient groups. Fix a Sylow ℓ -subgroup D of G^* , then any ℓ -element $t \in G^*$ has a conjugate in D , and obviously $|G^* : C_{G^*}(t)|_\ell \leq |D : C_D(t)| \leq |D : Z(D)|$ by Lemma 6.1 with strict inequality when D is non-abelian. Thus, for example, when all elements of $J_t(\text{Irr}(B) \cap \mathcal{E}(G, t))$ have degree prime to ℓ , the desired conclusion follows (assuming that G and G^* have isomorphic Sylow ℓ -subgroups, or at least, that their centers are of the same order).

6.1. Unipotent blocks. We now make this heuristic precise. For a prime ℓ not dividing q we denote by $d_\ell(q)$ the order of q modulo ℓ when ℓ is odd, respectively the order of q modulo 4 when $\ell = 2$. We employ freely the notions from d -Harish-Chandra theory, like d -split Levi subgroups, d -cuspidal pairs and d -Harish-Chandra series, as laid out in [5], as well as the language of Lusztig series explained for example in [7, §8]. The following estimate will prove useful:

Proposition 6.2. *Let \mathbf{H} be connected reductive with Frobenius map F with respect to an \mathbb{F}_q -rational structure. Let ℓ be a prime and $d = d_\ell(q)$. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{H}^F, 1)$ be a unipotent character in the d -Harish-Chandra series of the d -cuspidal pair (\mathbf{L}, λ) of \mathbf{H} . Then*

$$\frac{|\mathbf{H}^F|_\ell}{\chi(1)_\ell} \geq |Z(\mathbf{L})_\ell^F|.$$

Proof. This follows directly from the character formula for unipotent characters in d -Harish-Chandra series, see [21, Thm. 4.2]. \square

For a prime ℓ we consider the following property of a connected reductive group \mathbf{G} with Frobenius map F with respect to an \mathbb{F}_q -rational structure; here, $d = d_\ell(q)$:

- (\ddagger) For any d -split Levi subgroup $\mathbf{L} \leq \mathbf{G}$, an F -stable reductive subgroup $\mathbf{H} \leq \mathbf{G}$ is the centraliser of an ℓ -element in $C_{\mathbf{G}}^\circ([\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{L}])^F$ if and only if its dual $\mathbf{H}^* \leq \mathbf{G}^*$ is the centraliser of an ℓ -element in $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ([\mathbf{L}^*, \mathbf{L}^*])^F$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let \mathbf{G} be simple, ℓ a good prime for \mathbf{G} not dividing q . Then (\ddagger) holds for \mathbf{G} unless when \mathbf{G} is of type A_{n-1} and either F is untwisted and $\ell | (n, q-1)$, or F is twisted and $\ell | (n, q+1)$.*

Proof. There is an isogeny $\pi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\text{ad}}$ with F -stable kernel, which on the level of finite groups restricts to a homomorphism $\mathbf{G}^F \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\text{ad}}^F$. This induces a bijection between the sets of F -stable Levi subgroups of \mathbf{G} and of \mathbf{G}_{ad} , preserving the property of being d -split. By our assumptions on ℓ , centralisers of ℓ -elements in \mathbf{G} are Levi subgroups. Also, by our assumptions $Z(\mathbf{G})$ has order prime to ℓ , so the order of the kernel of π and the index $|\mathbf{G}_{\text{ad}}^F : \pi(\mathbf{G}^F)|$ are prime to ℓ . Thus, π induces a bijection between centralisers of ℓ -elements in \mathbf{G}^F and \mathbf{G}_{ad}^F as required for (\ddagger).

If \mathbf{G} is not of type B_n or C_n , then \mathbf{G}_{ad} and $(\mathbf{G}^*)_{\text{ad}}$ are isomorphic, and our claim follows. For $\mathbf{G} = \text{Sp}_{2n}$ all d -split Levi subgroups have the form $\mathbf{L} = \text{Sp}_{2m} \prod_i \text{GL}_{n_i}$ with $e \sum n_i + m = n$, where $e = d/(d, 2)$ (see [5, p. 49]) and $C_{\mathbf{G}}^\circ([\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{L}]) = \text{Sp}_{2(n-k)}$ for some $k \geq m$. Thus the claim for Sp_{2n} follows by induction, and hence also for its dual SO_{2n+1} , and then by the preceding argument for all groups isogenous to these. \square

Theorem 6.4. *Let \mathbf{G} be simple, $\ell > 2$ a good prime for \mathbf{G} different from the defining characteristic of \mathbf{G} , $\ell \neq 3$ if $\mathbf{G}^F = {}^3D_4(q)$, $\ell \nmid (n, q-1)$ if \mathbf{G} is of type A_{n-1} and F is untwisted, and $\ell \nmid (n, q+1)$ if \mathbf{G} is of type A_{n-1} and F is twisted. Then the unipotent ℓ -blocks of \mathbf{G}^F satisfy (RC).*

Proof. Under our assumptions on ℓ , by [6, Thm.] the unipotent ℓ -blocks of \mathbf{G} are in bijection with the \mathbf{G}^F -conjugacy classes of d -cuspidal unipotent pairs (\mathbf{L}, λ) of \mathbf{G} , where $d = d_\ell(q)$. We write $b(\mathbf{L}, \lambda)$ for the corresponding unipotent ℓ -block of \mathbf{G}^F .

Let $B = b(\mathbf{L}, \lambda)$ be an ℓ -block, and assume that $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$. Then by [6, Thm. (iii)] there is an ℓ -element $t \in \mathbf{G}^{*F}$ such that $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{G}^{*F}, t)$, and χ is a constituent of $R_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{G}}(\hat{t}\chi_t)$ where $\mathbf{H} \leq \mathbf{G}$ is dual to $\mathbf{H}^* := C_{\mathbf{G}^*}^\circ(t)$ and $\chi_t \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{H}^F, 1)$. Moreover, χ_t lies in the d -Harish-Chandra series of a d -cuspidal pair $(\mathbf{L}_t, \lambda_t)$ of \mathbf{H} such that $[\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{L}] = [\mathbf{L}_t, \mathbf{L}_t]$.

By Lemma 6.3, \mathbf{G} satisfies (\ddagger), so there is an ℓ -element $t' \in C_{\mathbf{G}}^\circ([\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{L}])^F = C_{\mathbf{G}}^\circ([\mathbf{L}_t, \mathbf{L}_t])^F$ with centraliser \mathbf{H} . According to [6, Thm. (ii)] any Sylow ℓ -subgroup D of $C_{\mathbf{G}}^\circ([\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{L}])^F$ is a defect group of $b(\mathbf{L}, \lambda)$. Since $Z^\circ(\mathbf{L}_t) \leq C_{\mathbf{H}}^\circ([\mathbf{L}_t, \mathbf{L}_t]) \leq C_{\mathbf{G}}^\circ([\mathbf{L}_t, \mathbf{L}_t])$ we may assume

$Z^\circ(\mathbf{L}_t)_\ell^F \leq D$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Z(D) &\leq C_D(Z^\circ(\mathbf{L}_t)_\ell^F) \cap \mathbf{H} = D \cap C_{\mathbf{H}}(Z^\circ(\mathbf{L}_t)_\ell^F)^F = D \cap \mathbf{L}_t^F \\ &\leq C_{\mathbf{G}}^\circ([\mathbf{L}_t, \mathbf{L}_t])^F \cap \mathbf{L}_t^F = C_{\mathbf{L}_t}^\circ([\mathbf{L}_t, \mathbf{L}_t])^F = Z^\circ(\mathbf{L}_t)_\ell^F \end{aligned}$$

using that $C_{\mathbf{H}}(Z^\circ(\mathbf{L}_t)_\ell^F) = \mathbf{L}_t$ since \mathbf{L}_t is d -split in \mathbf{H} (see [6, Prop. 3.3(ii)]). As D is an ℓ -group, this shows that $Z(D) \leq Z^\circ(\mathbf{L}_t)_\ell^F$. With Proposition 6.2 this yields

$$\ell^{\text{def}(x)} = \frac{|\mathbf{G}^F|_\ell}{\chi(1)_\ell} = \frac{|\mathbf{H}^F|_\ell}{\chi_t(1)_\ell} \geq |Z(\mathbf{L}_t)_\ell^F| \geq |Z(D)|.$$

Moreover this inequality is strict unless $Z(D) = Z^\circ(\mathbf{L}_t)_\ell^F$. But in the latter case all elements of D centralise $Z^\circ(\mathbf{L}_t)_\ell^F$, so lie in $C_{\mathbf{H}}(Z^\circ(\mathbf{L}_t)_\ell^F) = \mathbf{L}_t$, whence

$$D \leq \mathbf{L}_t^F \cap C_{\mathbf{G}}^\circ([\mathbf{L}_t, \mathbf{L}_t])^F = C_{\mathbf{L}_t}^\circ([\mathbf{L}_t, \mathbf{L}_t])^F \leq Z(\mathbf{L}_t)$$

is abelian. \square

6.2. Linear and unitary groups for odd primes. We now turn to groups of type A_{n-1} . We may and will assume that $\ell|n$, as otherwise we are in the situation of Theorem 6.4. As customary we let $\text{GL}_n(-q)$ denote $\text{GU}_n(q)$, and similarly for $\text{SL}_n(-q)$.

We will need the following inequalities between coefficients of ℓ -adic expansions:

Lemma 6.5. *Let $\ell > 2$ be a prime and $a \geq 1$ an integer. Let n be a positive integer with ℓ -adic expansion $n = \sum_i a_i \ell^i$, where $a_0 = 0$. Suppose $n = \sum_j n_j \ell^{b_j}$ with $n_j > 0$, $b_j \geq 0$. Set $s_i := \sum_{j|b_j=i} n_j$. Then*

$$a \sum_i (s_i - a_i) + \sum_j n_j b_j > k + 1$$

where $k = \min\{a, b_j\}$, unless $a_i = s_i$ for all i and either $n = \ell^k$, or $n = 2\ell$ and $k = 1$.

Proof. Note that $n = \sum_j n_j \ell^{b_j} = \sum_i s_i \ell^i$. Clearly we can get from the ℓ -adic expansion of n to the representation $n = \sum_i s_i \ell^i$ by repeatedly replacing a summand ℓ^i by ℓ summands ℓ^{i-1} , and each such step does increase the coefficient sum by $\ell - 1$. Thus if $(a_i)_i \neq (s_i)_i$ then $\sum_i (s_i - a_i) \geq \ell - 1 \geq 2$ and hence

$$a \sum_i (s_i - a_i) + \sum_j n_j b_j \geq 2a + \sum_j n_j b_j > k + 1$$

as claimed (since $k = 0$ if all $b_j = 0$).

So now assume that $a_i = s_i$ for all i , that is, the n_j with $b_j = i$ sum to a_i . As $a_0 = 0$ this means that $b_j > 0$ for all j . Then

$$\sum_j n_j b_j > k + 1$$

unless there is exactly one non-zero $b_j = k$, and $n_j \leq 2$, with $b_j = 1$ when $n_j = 2$. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Let $\ell > 2$, $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, $\tilde{G} = \text{GL}_n(\epsilon q)$ and $G = \text{SL}_n(\epsilon q)$, with $(q - \epsilon)_\ell = \ell^a$. Let \tilde{D} , $D = \tilde{D} \cap G$ be Sylow ℓ -subgroups of \tilde{G} , G respectively.*

- (a) *Then $|Z(\tilde{D})| = \ell^{a \sum a_i}$ and $|Z(D)| = |Z(\tilde{D})|/\ell^{a-k}$ where $n = \sum a_i \ell^i$ is the ℓ -adic expansion of n and $k = \min\{a, i \mid a_i \neq 0\}$.*

- (b) Let $1 \neq Z \leq \mathbf{O}_\ell(Z(G))$ and assume that $(n, \ell^a) \neq (3, 3)$. Then $|Z(D/Z)| \leq \ell|Z(D)/Z|$ with strict inequality if $n \neq \ell^k$.

Proof. We assume $\epsilon = 1$, the case $\epsilon = -1$ being entirely similar. A Sylow ℓ -subgroup P of $\mathrm{GL}_{\ell^i}(q)$ is an iterated wreath product $C_{\ell^a} \wr C_\ell \wr \cdots \wr C_\ell$ (with i factors C_ℓ). Let $B = C_{\ell^a}^{\ell^i}$ denote its base group. There is a complement R to B in P consisting of permutation matrices, hence lying in $\mathrm{SL}_{\ell^i}(q)$ as ℓ is odd. Then $Z(P)$ is contained inside B , as R acts faithfully by permutations on the set of cyclic factors of B . It is clear that $Z(P)$ is just the central diagonal subgroup $Z_0 \cong C_{\ell^a}$ of B . Then R still acts faithfully on the quotient B/Z_0 . Direct computation shows that the elements of B whose image is central in P/Z_0 are of the form $(a, a, \dots, az, az, \dots, az^2, az^2, \dots)$ with blocks of length ℓ^{i-1} and z of order dividing ℓ . Thus $|Z(P/Z_0)| = \ell$.

Now for n arbitrary, \tilde{D} is contained in a block diagonal subgroup $\prod_i \mathrm{GL}_{\ell^i}(q)^{a_i}$ of \tilde{G} . From the above description we see that $Z(\tilde{D})$ is the product of the central ℓ -subgroups of the factors and that $Z(D) = Z(\tilde{D}) \cap G$. Then the determinant condition gives (a).

Part (b) also follows from the above observations when $n = \ell^i$. When the ℓ -adic expansion of n has at least two summands, again a direct computation shows that $Z(P/Z) = Z(P)/Z = Z_0/Z$ for any $Z \leq Z_0$, as claimed. \square

Lemma 6.7. *Assume that $2 < \ell|(n, q - \epsilon)$. Let χ be a unipotent character of $\mathrm{GL}_m(\epsilon q)$. Then $\mathrm{def}(\chi) \geq ma$ where $\ell^a = (q - \epsilon)_\ell$.*

Proof. The degree polynomial of χ is not divisible by $x - \epsilon$, while $x - \epsilon$ divides the order polynomial of $\mathrm{GL}_m(\epsilon q)$ exactly m times. Thus $\ell^{\mathrm{def}(\chi)} \geq (q - \epsilon)_\ell^m = \ell^{ma}$. \square

Theorem 6.8. *Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\epsilon q)$, let $\ell > 2$ and assume that $\ell|(n, q - \epsilon)$. Then for any $Z \leq Z(G)$ the unipotent ℓ -blocks of G/Z do not provide counterexamples to (RC).*

Proof. First assume that $\epsilon = 1$, so $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(q)$. As $\ell|(q - 1)$, the principal block B is the unique unipotent ℓ -block of G [7, Thm. 22.9]. Let \tilde{B} denote the principal ℓ -block of $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$. Then the characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(B)$ are constituents of the restriction to G of the characters in $\mathrm{Irr}(\tilde{B})$. Let $\tilde{\chi} \in \mathrm{Irr}(\tilde{B})$. Then there is an ℓ -element $t \in \mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ with $\tilde{\chi} \in \mathcal{E}(\tilde{G}, t)$. The centraliser $\mathbf{H} := C_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(t)$ of t has the rational form $\mathbf{H}^F = \prod_j \mathrm{GL}_{n_j}(q^{\ell^{b_j}})$ for suitable integers n_j, b_j with $\sum_j n_j \ell^{b_j} = n$. The unipotent characters of \mathbf{H}^F are just the outer tensor products of the unipotent characters of the various factors. Using that ℓ^{a+b_j} is the precise power of ℓ dividing $q^{\ell^{b_j}} - 1$ we thus get with Lemma 6.7

$$\mathrm{def}(\tilde{\chi}) \geq \sum_j n_j(a + b_j) = a \sum_i s_i + \sum_j n_j b_j,$$

where s_i is the sum over all n_j with $b_j = i$. By Lemma 6.6 the center of a Sylow ℓ -subgroup \tilde{D} of $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$ has order $\ell^{a \sum a_i}$, where $n = \sum_i a_i \ell^i$ is the ℓ -adic expansion of n . Thus we are in the situation of Lemma 6.5. First assume that we are not in one of the exceptions mentioned there. Then $\ell^{\mathrm{def}(\tilde{\chi})} > \ell^{k+1}|Z(\tilde{D})|$ with $k = \min\{a, b_j\}$. Let χ be a constituent of $\tilde{\chi}|_G$ and $D = \tilde{D} \cap G$ a Sylow ℓ -subgroup of G . Then $\ell^{\mathrm{def}(\chi)} > \ell|Z(D)|$ by Lemma 6.6(a). Now let $Z \leq Z(G)$ be a central ℓ -subgroup in the kernel of χ . Then for a Sylow ℓ -subgroup $\bar{D} = D/Z$ of G/Z we have $|Z(\bar{D})| \leq \ell|Z(D)|/|Z|$ when $n \neq \ell^k$ by Lemma 6.6(b), whence $\ell^{\mathrm{def}(\chi)} > |Z(\bar{D})|$ for G/Z as claimed.

Now assume that $n = 2\ell$ and $k = 1$. Then we still get $\ell^{\text{def}(\tilde{\chi})} > \ell^k |Z(\tilde{D})|$. Since in this case $|Z(\bar{D})| = |Z(D)|/|Z|$ we may conclude as before. Finally, assume that $n = \ell^k$, with $k \leq a$. Here $\mathbf{H}^F = \text{GL}_1(q^n)$, and $\tilde{\chi}$ decomposes into $n = \ell^k$ characters upon restriction to G , so $\text{def}(\chi) = 2k$. Furthermore, $|Z(\bar{D})| = |Z(D)|/\ell^{k-1}$. If $k > 1$ this implies that $\ell^{\text{def}(\tilde{\chi})} \geq \ell^k > |Z(\bar{D})|$, for $\tilde{\chi} \in \text{Irr}(G/Z)$ a character with inflation χ . When $k = 1$, χ is faithful and so does not descend to any proper quotient of G . In the excluded case that $n = \ell^a = 3$ and $Z \neq 1$ the Sylow 3-subgroups of G/Z are abelian.

The proof for $\text{SU}_n(q)$ is completely analogous, with $q - 1$ replaced by $q + 1$ throughout. \square

7. EXCEPTIONAL GROUPS

In this section we consider blocks of exceptional groups for non-defining primes. We first get the Suzuki and Ree groups out of the way.

Proposition 7.1. *Let G be quasi-simple such that $S := G/Z(G)$ is one of the following groups: ${}^2B_2(q^2)$ with $q^2 = 2^{2m+1} > 2$, ${}^2G_2(q^2)$ with $q^2 = 3^{2m+1} > 3$, or ${}^2F_4(q^2)$ with $q^2 = 2^{2m+1} > 2$. Then Robinson's conjecture holds for all p -blocks of G .*

Proof. If p is the defining characteristic of G , we conclude by Theorem 5.1.

If $S = {}^2B_2(q^2)$ with $q^2 = 2^{2m+1} > 2$, then by Theorem 3.6 we may assume that $Z(G) = 1$. Here, all Sylow subgroups for odd primes are cyclic. Similarly, if $G = {}^2G_2(q^2)$ with $q^2 = 3^{2m+1} > 3$, then $Z(G) = 1$ and again all Sylow p -subgroups for primes $p \neq 3$ are abelian.

Finally, suppose $G = {}^2F_4(q^2)$ with $q^2 = 2^{2m+1} > 2$. For $p = 3$, the 3-blocks of G have been determined in [19]. In particular, we only need to consider the principal 3-block B_0 . By [20, Prop. 1.2(1)], we have $|Z(P)| = 3$, hence (RC) holds for 3-blocks of G by Lemma 3.1. Thus (RC) holds for all p -blocks of $G = {}^2F_4(q^2)$ for all primes p . \square

7.1. Unipotent blocks. Let \mathbf{G} be a simple algebraic group with a Frobenius endomorphism $F : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ such that $G := \mathbf{G}^F$ is a finite quasi-simple exceptional group of Lie type. We investigate ℓ -blocks of \mathbf{G}^F for primes $\ell > 2$ different from the defining characteristic of \mathbf{G} , and we assume, moreover, that ℓ is a bad prime for \mathbf{G} , or $\ell = 3$ for $G = {}^3D_4(q)$.

We first discuss unipotent blocks. Again, we only need to consider those of non-abelian defect. For the principal block, one needs to determine $|Z(P)|$ for $P \in \text{Syl}_\ell(G)$. For all groups except for type E_6 and $\ell = 3$, and for type E_7 and $\ell = 2$, Sylow ℓ -subgroups of G and G^* are isomorphic as $G \cong G^*$.

Proposition 7.2. *Let G be quasi-simple of exceptional Lie type. The structure of centralisers of ℓ -central semisimple ℓ -elements $t \in G$, where $\ell > 2$ is a bad prime for G , and the size of $Z(P)$ for $P \in \text{Syl}_\ell(G)$ are as given in Table 4.*

Proof. The centralisers of semisimple elements in \mathbf{G} can be classified with the algorithm of Borel–de Siebenthal. It turns out that the only centralisers $C_G(t)$ of ℓ -elements $t \notin Z(G)$ of ℓ' -index in G are as listed in Table 4. (In fact, these can also be found on the website of Frank Lübeck.)

TABLE 4. Centers of Sylow ℓ -subgroups $P \in \text{Syl}_\ell(G)$

G	ℓ	$C_G(t)$	$ Z(P) $
$G_2(q)$	3	$A_2(\epsilon q)$	3
${}^3D_4(q)$	3	$A_2(\epsilon q)(q^2 + \epsilon q + 1)$	3
$F_4(q)$	3	$A_2(\epsilon q)^2$	3
$E_6(\delta q)$	3	$E_6(\delta q)$ ($\epsilon = \delta$)	3
	3	$A_2(q^2).A_2(\epsilon q)$ ($\epsilon = -\delta$)	3
$E_6(\delta q)/Z$	3	$A_2(\delta q)^3$ ($\epsilon = \delta$)	3
$E_7(q)$	3	$E_6(\epsilon q).(q - \epsilon)$	$(q - \epsilon)_3$
$E_8(q)$	3	$E_6(\epsilon q).A_2(\epsilon q)$	3
	5	$A_4(\eta q)^2$ ($q^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$)	5
	5	${}^2A_4(q^2)$ ($q^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$)	5

Here $\epsilon, \delta, \eta \in \{\pm 1\}$ with $q \equiv \epsilon \pmod{3}$ and $q \equiv \eta \pmod{5}$.

Now if $P \in \text{Syl}_\ell(G)$ and $t \in Z(P)$ then $C_G(t)$ has ℓ' -index in G . Thus t occurs in the table, and $P \leq C_G(t)$. Then $|Z(P)|$ can be read off from the structure of $C_G(t)$. \square

Lemma 7.3. *Let G be quasi-simple of exceptional Lie type. If G has a non-principal unipotent ℓ -block of non-abelian defect for a bad prime $\ell > 2$, then $\ell = 3$ and the block and its defect groups are as given in Table 5.*

TABLE 5. Non-principal unipotent 3-blocks of non-abelian defect

G	(\mathbf{L}, λ)	D	$ Z(D) $
$E_6(\epsilon q)$	(D_4, ζ_ϵ)	$C_{(q-\epsilon)_3}^2 \cdot 3$	3
$E_7(q)$	(D_4, ζ_ϵ)	$C_{(q-\epsilon)_3}^3 \cdot 3$	$(q - \epsilon)_3$
$E_8(q)$	(D_4, ζ_ϵ)	$C_{(q-\epsilon)_3}^4 \cdot 3^2$	3

Here $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ with $q \equiv \epsilon \pmod{3}$.

Proof. The non-principal unipotent ℓ -blocks of exceptional groups were determined by Enguehard [11]. In Table 5 we label these blocks by the smallest Harish-Chandra vertex (\mathbf{L}, λ) above which (some of) their unipotent characters lie, in the notation of loc. cit. The defect groups are described in [11]. From this, the information on the center can readily be derived. For example, for $G = E_8(q)$ and $\ell = 3$, [11, p. 364] states that a defect group D is isomorphic to a Sylow 3-subgroup of $F_4(q)$, whence $|Z(D)| = 3$ by Table 4. \square

Proposition 7.4. *The unipotent ℓ -blocks of quasi-simple groups of exceptional Lie type for bad primes $\ell \neq 2$ do not provide counterexamples to Robinson's conjecture.*

Proof. Let G be quasi-simple of exceptional Lie type, $\ell > 2$ be a bad prime for G and B a unipotent ℓ -block.

If B is the principal ℓ -block of G , then by Lemma 3.1 we may assume that $Z(P)$ is of order at least ℓ^2 , where P is a Sylow ℓ -subgroup of G . Thus, by Table 4 we may assume that G is in fact simple, and of type E_7 with $\ell = 3$.

Assume first that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Here, according to Enguehard's description in [11, Thm. B], a character $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(G, t)$ lies in the principal 3-block if t is a 3-element and moreover the Jordan correspondent of χ in $\mathcal{E}(C_{G^*}(t), 1)$ lies in a Harish-Chandra series with Harish-Chandra vertex either a torus or a Levi subgroup of type E_6 . First assume that χ has Harish-Chandra vertex E_6 . Then $\mathbf{H} = C_{G^*}(t)$ has a Levi subgroup of type E_6 and thus is of type E_6 or E_7 . Then the formula for Jordan decomposition shows that $\Phi_1\Phi_3^3\Phi_9$ divides $|G|/\chi(1)$ and so $|G|_3/\chi(1)_3 \geq 3^4(q-1)_3$. If χ has trivial Harish-Chandra vertex then by the same argument we are done when \mathbf{H} has \mathbb{F}_q -rank at least 2. Note that \mathbf{H} has \mathbb{F}_q -rank at least 1 as by Table 4 every 3-element centralises a split torus of rank 1. Now if \mathbf{H} has \mathbb{F}_q -rank equal to 1, then all of its unipotent characters have degree prime to 3, and it is easy to see that $|G : \chi(1)|_3 \geq 3(q-1)_3 > |Z(P)|$.

Let us now consider the non-principal unipotent blocks listed in Table 5. Here only $G = E_7(q)$ with $\ell = 3$ is relevant, with B the block whose characters have Harish-Chandra vertex D_4 . As before, by [11, Thm. B] the characters in B lie in $\mathcal{E}(G, t)$ for 3-elements t whose centraliser $\mathbf{H} = C_{G^*}(t)$ either has a split Levi subgroup of type D_4 , or $\mathbf{H}^F = \Phi_1\Phi_3 \cdot {}^3D_4(q)$ (see [11, Prop. 17]). In either case $|G|/\chi(1)$ is divisible by $3(q-1)_3$, sufficient for our claim.

The same line of argument applies when $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$. □

7.2. Isolated 5-blocks in $E_8(q)$. We now consider certain 5-blocks. Recall that an element $t \in \mathbf{G}^{*F}$ is called *quasi-isolated* if $C_{G^*}(t)$ is not contained in any proper F -stable Levi subgroup of \mathbf{G}^* . It is *isolated* if $C_{G^*}^\circ(t)$ is not contained in a proper F -stable Levi subgroup.

Proposition 7.5. *Let B be an isolated 5-block of $G = E_8(q)$. Then B is not a minimal counterexample to (RC).*

No.	$C_{G^*}(s)^F$	\mathbf{L}	$W_{\mathbf{G}^F}(\mathbf{L}, \lambda)$	$ Z(D) $
1	$D_8(q)$	Φ_1^8	D_8	$(q-1)_5^4$
3	$E_7(q)A_1(q)$	Φ_1^8	$E_7 \times A_1$	$(q-1)_5^4$
7	$D_5(q)A_3(q)$	Φ_1^8	$D_5 \times A_3$	$(q-1)_5^4$
10	$A_7(q)A_1(q)$	Φ_1^8	$A_7 \times A_1$	$(q-1)_5^4$
13	$A_8(q)$	Φ_1^8	A_8	$(q-1)_5^4$
16	$E_6(q)A_2(q)$	Φ_1^8	$E_6 \times A_2$	$(q-1)_5^4$
25	$A_5(q)A_2(q)A_1(q)$	Φ_1^8	$A_5 \times A_2 \times A_1$	$(q-1)_5^4$

TABLE 6. Isolated 5-blocks in $E_8(q)$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$

Proof. Let $d = d_5(q)$ be the order of q modulo 5. The isolated non-unipotent 5-blocks of G were determined in [16, Prop. 6.10]. The ones of non-abelian defect are listed in Table 6 for $d = 1$. For $d = 2$ one obtains their Ennola duals, while there are none when $d = 4$. Let $s \in G$ be an isolated 5'-element. One of the results in [16] is that the intersections

of the 5-blocks with $\mathcal{E}(G, s)$ are exactly the d -Harish-Chandra series. Unfortunately, the subdivision of the whole of $\mathcal{E}_5(G, s)$ into 5-blocks was not determined in [16]. We claim that the analogue of [6, Thm. (iii)] and [11, Thm. B] continues to hold. That is, for any 5-element $t \in C_G(s)$ the intersections of the 5-blocks in $\mathcal{E}_5(G, s)$ with the Lusztig series $\mathcal{E}(G, st)$ coincide with the d -Harish-Chandra series.

Let $\mathbf{H} = C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)$ as in Table 6. Then 5 is a good prime for \mathbf{H} and does not divide $|Z(\mathbf{H})^F|$, so the centralisers of all 5-elements in \mathbf{H}^F are Levi subgroups of \mathbf{H} and hence of \mathbf{G}^* , and in fact d -split Levi subgroups of \mathbf{H} . According to the argument given on p. 368 of [11] to show our claim it suffices to verify the validity of the analogues of Propositions 20 and 22 in loc. cit. in our situation. For Proposition 20 this holds by part (a) of the argument given there. Indeed, by inspection the centraliser of any 5-element in \mathbf{H} is either d -split, or classical of rank at most 3 and with just one unipotent 5-block. Proposition 22 continues to hold here by part (c) of its proof, as we had just seen above that the centralisers of 5-elements $t \in \mathbf{H}^F$ are Levi subgroups. This proves the claim.

Thus, the 5-blocks listed in Table 6 only contain characters $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(G, st)$ that lie in the principal d -series, and their defect groups are Sylow 5-subgroups of \mathbf{H}^F . We can then argue exactly as in the proof of Theorem 6.4 to prove our assertion. \square

7.3. Quasi-isolated 3-blocks.

Theorem 7.6. *Let B be a quasi-isolated 3-block of a quasi-simple exceptional group of Lie type. Then B is not a minimal counterexample to (RC).*

Proof. Let G be a quasi-simple exceptional group of Lie type and $d = d_3(q)$. The isolated non-unipotent 3-blocks of G were determined in [16]. For most of those blocks we can apply exactly the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 7.5. Let $s \in \mathbf{G}^{*F}$ be a quasi-isolated 3'-element and $\mathbf{H} = C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)$. If \mathbf{H} has only classical factors, then 3 is a good prime for \mathbf{H} and we may conclude by noticing that the analogues of Propositions 20 and 22 in [11] are satisfied. The only cases for which this approach fails are when \mathbf{H} has a factor of type E_6 (in G of type E_7), or of type E_7 (in G of type E_8).

G	No.	$C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^F$	\mathbf{L}^F	λ	$W_{\mathbf{G}^F}(\mathbf{L}, \lambda)$
E_7	8	$\Phi_1.E_6(q).2$	Φ_1^7	1	$E_6.2$
	9		$\Phi_1^3.D_4$	$D_4[1]$	$A_2.2$
			$\Phi_1.E_6$	$E_6[\theta^{\pm 1}]$	2
	10	$\Phi_2.^2E_6(q).2$	$\Phi_1^4.(A_1^3)'$	1	F_4
			E_7	${}^2E_6[\theta^{\pm 1}], {}^2E_6[1]$	1
	11		$\Phi_1.D_6$	ϕ_{321}	A_1
E_8	3	$E_7(q)A_1(q)$	Φ_1^8	1	$E_7 \times A_1$
	4		$\Phi_1^4.D_4$	$D_4[1]$	$C_3 \times A_1$
			$\Phi_1^2.E_6$	$E_6[\theta^{\pm 1}]$	$A_1 \times A_1$
	5		$\Phi_1.E_7$	$E_7[\pm \xi]$	A_1

TABLE 7. Harish-Chandra series in some quasi-isolated 3-blocks, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

Let us consider these cases, listed from [16, Tab. 4 and 6] in Table 7 for $d = 1$ (for $d = 2$ again we have the Ennola dual situations). Blocks 8 and 9 for $E_7(q)$ have defect groups with centers of the same size $(q - 1)_3$, so for our question we do not need to know the precise block distribution. Block 10 has defect groups with center of order 3, while defect groups for block 11 are abelian, so (RC) holds for these blocks independent of the block distribution.

Finally assume that $G = E_8(q)$ and $s \in \mathbf{G}^{*F}$ is an isolated involution with $\mathbf{H} = C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)$ of rational type $E_7(q)A_1(q)$. Here block 5 has abelian defect groups, and the size of the centers of the defect groups of blocks 3 and 4 is not smaller than for block 5, so we need to be more careful. Now note with Proposition 6.2 that the only characters in $\mathcal{E}_3(G, s)$ with potentially too small defect are those lying in the Harish-Chandra series of a cuspidal character of type $E_7[\pm\xi]$. Such characters occur in $\mathcal{E}(G, st)$ only for 3-elements $t \in \mathbf{H}^F$ with $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(st)$ of rational type $E_7(q)\Phi_1$. But this is a proper 1-split Levi subgroup of \mathbf{H} , and thus Proposition 20 of [11] continues to hold by (b) of its proof, and Proposition 22 by (c) of its proof. Hence all characters in $\mathcal{E}_3(G, s)$ lying in Harish-Chandra series above $E_7[\pm\xi]$ fall into block 5, and we may conclude as in the previous cases. \square

We are now ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1. According to Theorem 2.3 we have to show that no p -block of a quasi-simple group G is a minimal counterexample to Robinson's conjecture. We invoke the classification of finite simple groups. If G is a covering group of a sporadic simple group or of ${}^2F_4(2)'$, the claim holds by Theorem 3.5. For G a covering group of an alternating group \mathfrak{A}_n , $n \geq 5$, we showed the assertion in Theorem 4.1. Thus, G is such that $S = G/Z(G)$ is simple of Lie type. If G is an exceptional covering group, then we are done by Theorem 3.6.

It remains to consider the case when G is a non-exceptional covering of a simple group of Lie type $S = G/Z(G)$, and $G \neq {}^2F_4(2)'$. The Suzuki and Ree groups have been handled in Proposition 7.1. Thus, without loss we may assume that $G = \mathbf{G}^F$ for \mathbf{G} a simple algebraic group of simply connected type with a Frobenius endomorphism F . If p is the defining characteristic of G , then the claim is in Theorem 5.1. So now assume that ℓ is not the defining characteristic, and B is an ℓ -block of G . By Corollary 2.4 we may assume that G has cyclic center. Then by the reduction theorem of Bonnafé, Dat and Rouquier [2, Thm. 7.7] we may assume that B is in fact an isolated block of G , as otherwise it is Morita equivalent to an ℓ -block of a strictly smaller group with the same defect group and thus cannot be a minimal counterexample. Observe that the Bonnafé–Dat–Rouquier Morita equivalence is compatible with Lusztig series and thus with central characters. Hence it carries over to blocks of central quotients of G .

By the result of Enguehard [12, Thm. 1.4], if B is isolated but not unipotent, and ℓ is good for G , not equal to 3 when $G = {}^3D_4(q)$, then there exists a height-preserving bijection between $\text{Irr}(B)$ and the characters in an ℓ -block with isomorphic defect group of a strictly smaller group, if the Mackey formula does hold for G . The only simple groups for which the Mackey formula is not known to hold are ${}^2E_6(2)$, $E_7(2)$ and $E_8(2)$, but for these, all Sylow ℓ -subgroups for good primes ℓ are abelian. We are hence left to consider unipotent blocks, as well as isolated blocks for primes $\ell > 2$ which are bad for G .

If ℓ is good for \mathbf{G} , then the unipotent blocks are treated in Theorem 6.4, respectively in Theorem 6.8 for groups of type A . The only groups for which 5 is a bad prime are those of type E_8 , and their isolated 5-blocks have been handled in Propositions 7.4 and 7.5. Finally, the isolated 3-blocks of exceptional groups do not provide minimal counterexamples to (RC) by Theorem 7.6. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C. BESSENRODT, J.B. OLSSON, Heights of spin characters in characteristic 2. Pp. 51–71 in *Finite Reductive Groups, Related Structures and Representations*, Birkhäuser, 1997.
- [2] C. BONNAFÉ, J.-F. DAT, R. ROUQUIER, Derived categories and Deligne–Lusztig varieties II. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **185** (2017), 609–670.
- [3] R. BRAUER, Investigations on group characters. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **42** (1941), 936–958.
- [4] R. BRAUER, On blocks and sections in finite groups. II. *Amer. J. Math.* **90** (1968), 895–925.
- [5] M. BROUÉ, G. MALLE, J. MICHEL, Generic blocks of finite reductive groups. *Astérisque* No. 212 (1993), 7–92.
- [6] M. CABANES, M. ENGUEHARD, On unipotent blocks and their ordinary characters. *Invent. Math.* **117** (1994), 149–164.
- [7] M. CABANES, M. ENGUEHARD, *Representation Theory of Finite Reductive Groups*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [8] R. CARTER, *Finite Groups of Lie type: Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters*. Wiley, Chichester, 1985.
- [9] J.H. CONWAY, R.T. CURTIS, S.P. NORTON, R.A. PARKER, R.A. WILSON, *Atlas of Finite Groups*. Oxford University Press, London, 1984.
- [10] C. W. EATON, The equivalence of some conjectures of Dade and Robinson. *J. Algebra* **271** (2004), 638–651.
- [11] M. ENGUEHARD, Sur les l -blocs unipotents des groupes réductifs finis quand l est mauvais. *J. Algebra* **230** (2000), 334–377.
- [12] M. ENGUEHARD, Towards a Jordan decomposition of blocks of finite reductive groups. ArXiv: 1312.0106.
- [13] P. FONG, On the characters of p -solvable groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **98** (1961), 263–284.
- [14] M. GECK, On the p -defects of character degrees of finite groups of Lie type. *Carpathian J. Math.* **19** (2003), 97–100.
- [15] D. GORENSTEIN, R. LYONS, R. SOLOMON, *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 40.3. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [16] R. KESSAR, G. MALLE, Quasi-isolated blocks and Brauer’s height zero conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **178** (2013), 321–384.
- [17] P. LANDROCK, The non-principal 2-blocks of sporadic simple groups. *Comm. Algebra* **6** (1978), 1865–1891.
- [18] G. LUSZTIG, *Characters of Reductive Groups over a Finite Field*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 107. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984.
- [19] G. MALLE, Die unipotenten Charaktere von ${}^2F_4(q^2)$. *Comm. Algebra* **18** (1990), 2361–2381.
- [20] G. MALLE, The maximal subgroups of ${}^2F_4(q^2)$. *J. Algebra* **139** (1991), 52–69.
- [21] G. MALLE, Height 0 characters of finite groups of Lie type. *Represent. Theory* **11** (2007), 192–220.
- [22] G. MALLE, D. TESTERMAN, *Linear Algebraic Groups and Finite Groups of Lie Type*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 133. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [23] M. MURAI, Blocks of factor groups and heights of characters. *Osaka J. Math.* **35** (1998), 835–854.
- [24] G. NAVARRO, *Characters and Blocks of Finite Groups*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 250. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [25] J.B. OLSSON, *Combinatorics and Representations of Finite Groups*. Universität Essen, Fachbereich Mathematik, Essen, 1993.

- [26] R. REE, A family of simple groups associated with the simple Lie algebra of type (F_4) . *Amer. J. Math.* **83** (1961), 401–420.
- [27] R. REE, A family of simple groups associated with the simple Lie algebra of type (G_2) . *Amer. J. Math.* **83** (1961), 432–462.
- [28] G. ROBINSON, Local structure, vertices and Alperin's conjecture. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **72** (1996), 312–330.
- [29] B. SAMBALE, *Blocks of Finite Groups and their Invariants*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2127. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [30] S. A. SYSKIN, Abstract properties of the simple sporadic groups. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **35** (1980), 181–212.
- [31] THE GAP GROUP, GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.8.10; 2018. (<http://www.gap-system.org>)
- [32] A. WAGNER, An observation on the degrees of projective representations of the symmetric and alternating group over an arbitrary field. *Arch. Math.* **29** (1977), 583–589.
- [33] A. WATANABE, On Fong's reductions. *Kumamoto J. Sci. (Math.)* **13** (1978/79), 48–54.
- [34] R.A. WILSON, *The Finite Simple Groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 251. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2009.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY BEIJING, BEIJING 100083, CHINA.

Email address: zfeng@pku.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHWEST JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 611756, CHINA.

Email address: liconghui@swjtu.edu.cn

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, JIANGXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, NANCHANG, CHINA.

Email address: liuyanjun@pku.edu.cn

FB MATHEMATIK, TU KAISERSLAUTERN, POSTFACH 3049, 67653 KAISERSLAUTERN, GERMANY.

Email address: malle@mathematik.uni-kl.de

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, CHINA.

Email address: jzhang@pku.edu.cn